

# Global aspects of T-duality, gauged sigma models and T-folds

---

**Christopher M. Hull**

*Theoretical Physics Group, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London,  
London SW7 2BZ, U.K., and  
The Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Imperial College London,  
48 Prince's Gardens, London SW7 2PE, U.K.  
E-mail: c.hull@imperial.ac.uk*

**ABSTRACT:** The gauged sigma-model argument that string backgrounds related by T-duality give equivalent quantum theories is revisited, taking careful account of global considerations. The topological obstructions to gauging sigma-models give rise to obstructions to T-duality, but these are milder than those for gauging: it is possible to T-dualise a large class of sigma-models that cannot be gauged. For backgrounds that are torus fibrations, it is expected that T-duality can be applied fibrewise in the general case in which there are no globally-defined Killing vector fields, so that there is no isometry symmetry that can be gauged; the derivation of T-duality is extended to this case. The T-duality transformations are presented in terms of globally-defined quantities. The generalisation to non-geometric string backgrounds is discussed, the conditions for the T-dual background to be geometric found and the topology of T-folds analysed.

**KEYWORDS:** String Duality, Sigma Models, Flux compactifications.

---

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Gauged sigma models</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. The geometry of gauged sigma models</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 A single Killing vector	9
3.2 Several Killing vectors	11
3.3 Global symmetries	14
<b>4. Gauging the ungaugable</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5. Global structure and large gauge transformations</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1 Simplified form of gauged sigma-models	19
5.2 Large gauge transformations and global structure	20
<b>6. T-duality</b>	<b>21</b>
6.1 T-dualising on $d$ circles	21
6.2 The action of $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$	23
<b>7. Torus fibrations</b>	<b>25</b>
7.1 Local Killing vectors	25
7.2 Symmetries of torus fibrations and their gauging	27
7.3 T-duality for torus fibrations	30
<b>8. Torus fibrations with B-shifts</b>	<b>31</b>
8.1 B-shifts with Killing vectors	31
8.2 B-shifts and torus fibrations	32
<b>9. T-folds and T-duality</b>	<b>33</b>

---

## 1. Introduction

The two-dimensional sigma-model is a theory of maps from a two-dimensional space  $W$  to a manifold  $M$  with a metric  $g$  and closed 3-form  $H$ . Remarkably, in certain circumstances the two-dimensional quantum theory defined on  $(M, g, H)$  can be the same as that defined by a sigma-model defined on a different manifold with different geometry and topology  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{H})$ . Of particular interest here is T-duality, where  $(M, g, H)$  and the dual geometry

$(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{H})$  both have  $d$  commuting Killing vectors with compact orbits [1-19]. The T-duality transformation from  $M$  to  $\widetilde{M}$  can change the topology as well as the geometry [5–7, 11, 12].

If the target space of a sigma model has isometries, the field theory has corresponding global symmetries. These can be promoted to local symmetries of the field theory by coupling to gauge fields on  $W$ , and such a gauged sigma model is the starting point for a proof of the equivalence of the dual sigma models. In [2–4], a gauged sigma model on a larger space is constructed with the extra coordinates appearing as lagrange multipliers imposing the condition that the gauge fields are pure gauge. Then two different gauge choices give rise to two sigma-models with different target spaces, but as they arise from two different ways of performing the same path integral, they give the same quantum theory.

However, it is not always possible to gauge such an isometry symmetry: the potential obstructions to gauging a sigma-model with non-trivial  $H$  were found in [20–22] and their topological interpretation explored in [21, 23–26]. It is also not always possible to T-dualise a sigma model with isometries, but the obstructions are weaker than those for gauging and there are ungaugable sigma-models that nonetheless can be T-dualised. Many special cases have been discussed in the literature e.g. [4, 7, 13, 14], but there does not seem to have been a general analysis. The purpose here is to find the conditions necessary and sufficient conditions for a geometry  $(M, g, H)$  to have a geometric T-dual  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{H})$ , and also the conditions for there to be a T-dual with a ‘non-geometric’ target space [19]. The conditions found allow a geometric T-dual to be found for a more general class of geometries than those discussed in [4, 7, 13, 14]. The local form of the transformations of course agree with those of [2–4], and the novelty is in the understanding of global considerations.

An important example is that of a torus bundle in which there are local solutions to Killing’s equations that generate the torus fibres, but which do not extend to globally defined vector fields. In this case, there are no isometries, and so the analysis of [2–4] does not apply. Nevertheless, it is expected that one can apply duality fibrewise in such circumstances [27]. It will be shown here that there are potential obstructions to this, and when these are absent a gauged sigma-model derivation of the fibrewise T-duality will be given. The discussion involves addressing the question of whether one can generalise the gauged sigma-model to the case of such torus bundles.

The action of the T-duality group  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  is usually presented in terms of fractional linear transformations of  $g_{ij} + b_{ij}$ , but there are problems with this if  $b$  is only locally defined and is not a tensor field. One of the aims here will be to give a careful global characterisation of T-duality in terms of well-defined objects. This is an important prerequisite to reformulating the results in terms of generalised geometry, as will be discussed elsewhere.

Suppose that  $(M, g, H)$  has  $d$  (globally defined) commuting Killing vectors  $k_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, d$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}_m g = 0$  where  $\mathcal{L}_m$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $k_m$ , and that  $H$  is invariant

$$\mathcal{L}_m H = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

The Lie derivative of a form is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \iota_m d + d\iota_m \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\iota_m$  is the interior product with  $k_m$  (using the conventions of [21]) so that (1.1) implies

$$d\iota_m H = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and  $\iota_m H, \iota_m \iota_n H, \iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H$  are closed forms on  $H$ . The sigma-model action is invariant under corresponding rigid symmetries provided  $\iota_m H$  is exact, so that

$$\iota_m H = dv_m \tag{1.4}$$

for some globally-defined 1-forms  $v_m$  [20].

Given a suitable good open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of the manifold  $M$  (in which each  $\{U_\alpha\}$  has trivial cohomology), in each patch  $U_\alpha$  a two-form  $b^\alpha$  can be found such that

$$H = db^\alpha \tag{1.5}$$

In the overlap  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , the difference between the  $b$ 's must be closed and so exact, so that

$$b^\alpha - b^\beta = d\Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \tag{1.6}$$

for some one-form  $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$  in  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  (satisfying the usual consistency condition in triple overlaps). Then  $b^\alpha$  is a local potential for the field strength  $H$ , and is determined by  $H$  up to local gauge transformations

$$\delta b^\alpha = d\lambda^\alpha \tag{1.7}$$

where  $\lambda^\alpha$  is a one-form on  $U_\alpha$ . The potential  $b$  need only be invariant up to a gauge transformation, so that

$$\mathcal{L}_m b^\alpha = dw_m^\alpha \tag{1.8}$$

for a 1-form  $w_m^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$  given by

$$w_m^\alpha = v_m + \iota_m b^\alpha \tag{1.9}$$

To be able to T-dualise using the  $d$  Killing vectors requires that the orbits be compact, so that  $M$  has a torus fibration with fibres  $T^d$ . In [4], T-duality was analysed for the case in which a gauge can be chosen in which  $\mathcal{L}_m b^\alpha = 0$ . However, such a gauge is not possible for all patches in general. For example, such a gauge choice cannot be possible if there is non-trivial  $H$ -flux on the fibres (i.e. if  $\int H$  is non-zero over a cycle of the  $T^d$  fibres). In [7], a global derivation of T-duality was given for one Killing vector ( $d = 1$ ) for the case in which  $\iota_m H$  is exact. It was then argued that this condition can be relaxed by choosing coordinate patches on  $M$  in which  $\iota_m H$  is exact in each patch, and then patching together the gaugings from the different patches. This was shown to work in some interesting examples, but the questions as to whether such a patching is always possible and whether this extends to more than one Killing vector were not addressed.

In [13, 14] the case of principle torus bundles was discussed. Dimensional reduction of  $H$  on the  $T^d$  fibres gives forms  $H_3, H_2, H_1, H_0$  where  $H_p$  is a  $p$ -form on the base. It

was claimed that T-duality was possible if  $H_1 = 0, H_0 = 0$  and that otherwise there is an obstruction. There is also a 2-form  $F_2$  on the base which is the curvature of the connection on the bundle, and both  $H_2$  and  $F_2$  take values in the Lie algebra of  $U(1)^d$ . The topology is characterised by two integral cohomology classes on the base, the first Chern class  $[F_2]$  and the ‘ $H$ -class’  $[H_2]$ , and T-duality interchanges the two, so that  $[\tilde{F}_2] = [H_2]$  and  $[\tilde{H}_2] = [F_2]$ .

Here the general case of simultaneous T-duality in  $d$  directions will be analysed, for general  $T^d$  fibrations (i.e.  $M$  need not be a principle torus bundle). In this article, only the case in which the local  $U(1)^d$  acts without fixed points will be discussed. First, in the case of  $d$  globally-defined nowhere-vanishing Killing vector fields, the result is that the condition for a geometric T-duality to be possible, i.e. one in which the dual is again a manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with tensor fields  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{H}$ , are that the closed 2-form  $\iota_m H$  is the curvature for some line bundle, that  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is exact and that  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ . This includes cases in which  $\iota_m H$  is not exact, so that the original sigma-model is not invariant under the action of  $U(1)^d$ , and in which  $H_1$  is non-zero. This is then generalised to the case of torus bundles, where a modification of the constraint on  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is found, while  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$  is still needed. The general form of the T-duality transformations are given in terms of globally-defined geometric structures — of course, they agree with those given in [2, 4] locally.

An important question is whether T-duality is possible under more general circumstances. In [19] it was argued that in certain cases the T-dual is a T-fold — a space which looks locally like a manifold with  $g, H$  but where the transition functions between patches involve T-duality transformations. Examples of such non-geometric string backgrounds have been explored in [19],[27–34]. It will be shown here that the only condition for a T-duality to a T-fold to be possible is that the constants  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H$  vanish, and no condition on  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is needed. In [28], it was argued that T-duality of more general cases with  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H \neq 0$  is in fact possible, with a result that is a stringy geometry that does not look like a conventional manifold even locally. (An alternative viewpoint was taken in [16–18]. It was argued that if  $H_1 \neq 0$  and  $H_0 = 0$  the dual is a non-commutative geometry in [16, 17] and that if  $H_0 \neq 0$  then it is a non-associative geometry in [18].)

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, a review is given of the gauging of sigma-models with Wess-Zumino term and in particular of the obstructions to gauging. Section 3 further examines the geometry of manifolds  $M$  that are torus bundles, with a metric  $g$  and closed 3-form  $H$  that are invariant under a  $U(1)^d$  group action, and in particular investigates the quotient geometry arising from the integrating out the gauge fields in the corresponding gauged sigma-model with WZ term. There are problems with the usual formulation of the T-duality transformations; for example, they involve non-linear transformations of the 2-form gauge field which appear inconsistent with the 2-form gauge symmetry. Geometric quantities are introduced in terms of which T-duality can be expressed covariantly.

Section 4 shows that almost all of the obstructions to gauging a  $U(1)^d$  group action can be overcome by introducing a further  $d$  scalar fields. Geometrically, these extra scalars correspond to the fibre coordinates of a  $d$ -torus bundle  $\hat{M}$  over  $M$ , which is the doubled torus of [19]. These extra scalars can also be thought of as the extra lagrange multiplier fields introduced in the sigma-model derivation of T-duality [2–4]. In section 5, the global

structure of  $\hat{M}$  is analysed, and in particular the periodicities of the extra coordinates shown to be inversely related to the periodicities of the fibre coordinates of  $M$ . It is seen that there are some subtleties in identifying precisely which are the correct periodic coordinates.

Section 6 uses the results from the previous sections to re-examine the sigma-model derivation of T-duality. The standard derivation gauges an abelian isometry and adds lagrange multiplier fields constraining the gauge fields to be trivial. Then integrating out the lagrange multipliers and gauge-fixing recovers the original geometry while integrating out the gauge fields gives the T-dual geometry. Section 6 generalises this to a wide class of geometries where the first step of gauging the sigma-model is not possible, and in this way it is seen that the obstructions to T-duality are considerably weaker than the obstructions to gauging a sigma-model. Nevertheless, there are some obstructions to T-duality and these are carefully discussed. The T-duality transformations are expressed covariantly in terms of geometric variables.

Section 7 examines more general torus bundles in which there is no action of  $U(1)^d$ , These are not principle bundles, and although Killing vectors exist locally, they do not extend to global vector fields. The adiabatic argument suggests that T-duality can be applied fibrewise in such situations, even though the general T-duality derivation of section 6 fails in this case. A more general construction is proposed that formally establishes fibrewise T-duality in this case. Section 8 looks at a more general set-up in which the transition functions involve B-shifts. Local application of the T-duality rules lead to a set of patches of dual geometry that cannot fit together into a geometric background but which do fit together to form a non-geometric background, a T-fold. However, a derivation of this result using gauged sigma-models is not possible. In section 9, the discussion of T-duality is extended to T-folds.

## 2. Gauged sigma models

The sigma model with target space  $M$  is a theory of maps  $\phi : W \rightarrow M$ . If  $X^i$  are coordinates on  $M$  and  $\sigma^a$  are coordinates on  $W$ , the map is given locally by functions  $X^i(\sigma)$ . The action is the sum of a kinetic term  $S_g$  and a Wess-Zumino term  $S_{WZ}$

$$S_0 = S_g + S_{WZ} \tag{2.1}$$

Given a metric  $g$  on  $M$ , the kinetic term is

$$S_g = \frac{1}{2} \int_W g_{ij} dX^i \wedge *dX^j \tag{2.2}$$

Here and in what follows, the pull-back  $\phi^*(dX^i) = \partial_a X^i d\sigma^a$  will be written  $dX^i$ , and it should be clear from the context whether a form on  $M$  or its pull-back is intended. The Hodge dual on  $W$  constructed using a metric  $h_{ab}$  is denoted  $*$ .

The Wess-Zumino term is constructed using a closed 3-form  $H$  on  $M$ . If  $H$  is exact, then there is a globally defined 2-form  $b$  on  $M$  with

$$H = db \tag{2.3}$$

and the Wess-Zumino term is

$$S_{WZ} = \int_W \phi^* b = \frac{1}{2} \int_W b_{ij} dX^i \wedge dX^j \quad (2.4)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$S_{WZ} = \int_V \phi^* H = \frac{1}{3} \int_V H_{ijk} dX^i \wedge dX^j \wedge dX^k \quad (2.5)$$

where  $V$  is any 3-manifold with boundary  $W$ .

This form of the action can also be used in the case in which  $H$  is not exact. Then the action depends on the choice of  $V$ , but the difference between the actions for two choices  $V, V'$  with the same boundary  $W$  is

$$S_{WZ}(V) - S_{WZ}(V') = \int_{V-V'} \phi^* H = \int_{\phi(V-V')} H \quad (2.6)$$

where  $V - V'$  is the compact 3-manifold obtained from glueing  $V$  to  $V'$  along their common boundary with opposite orientations, and  $\phi(V - V')$  is the corresponding closed 3-manifold in  $M$ . The result is a topological number depending only on the cohomology class of  $H$  and the homology class of  $\phi(V - V')$ , so that the choice of  $V$  does not affect the classical field equations. The ambiguity in the choice of  $V$  leads to an ambiguity in the Euclidean functional integral  $\int [dX] \exp(-kS)$  by a phase

$$\exp ik \int_{\phi(V-V')} H \quad (2.7)$$

where  $k$  is a coupling constant. The functional integral is then well-defined provided  $\frac{k}{2\pi}[H]$  is an integral cohomology class (where  $[H]$  is the de Rham cohomology class represented by  $H$ ).

Suppose there are  $d$  commuting Killing vectors  $k_m$  with  $\mathcal{L}_m H = 0$ . Then under the transformation

$$\delta X^i = \alpha^m k_m^i(X) \quad (2.8)$$

with constant parameter  $\alpha^m$  the action changes by

$$\delta S = \int_W \phi^*(\alpha^m \iota_m H) \quad (2.9)$$

and this will be a surface term if  $\iota_m H$  is exact, so that

$$\iota_m H = dv_m \quad (2.10)$$

for some (globally defined) one-forms  $v_m$ , which are defined by (2.10) up to the addition of exact forms [20]. This is then a global symmetry if  $\iota_m H$  is exact.

Gauging of the sigma-model [20, 22] consists of promoting the symmetry (2.8) to a local one with parameters that are functions  $\alpha^m(\sigma)$  by seeking a suitable coupling to connection one-forms  $C^m$  on  $W$  transforming as

$$\delta C^m = d\alpha^m \quad (2.11)$$

It was shown in [20, 22] that gauging is possible if  $\iota_m H$  is exact, and a one-form  $v_m = v_{mi} dX^i$  can be chosen with  $\iota_m H = dv_m$  that satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_m v_n = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

(so that  $\iota_m H$  represents a trivial equivariant cohomology class) and

$$\iota_m v_n = -\iota_n v_m \quad (2.13)$$

This defines globally-defined functions

$$B_{mn} = \iota_m v_n \quad (2.14)$$

satisfying  $B_{mn} = -B_{nm}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_p B_{mn} = 0$ . The identity

$$\iota_m \iota_n H = \mathcal{L}_m v_n - d\iota_m v_n \quad (2.15)$$

together with  $\mathcal{L}_m v_n = 0$  implies  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is exact with

$$\iota_m \iota_n H = -dB_{mn} \quad (2.16)$$

Finally

$$\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

as  $\mathcal{L}_p B_{mn} = 0$ .

The covariant derivative of  $X^i$  is

$$D_a X^i = \partial_a X^i - C_a^m k_m^i \quad (2.18)$$

with field strength

$$\mathcal{G}^m = dC^m \quad (2.19)$$

The gauged action is [20]

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_W g_{ij} DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_V \left( \frac{1}{3} H_{ijk} DX^i \wedge DX^j \wedge DX^k + \mathcal{G}^m \wedge v_{mi} DX^i \right) \quad (2.20)$$

which can be rewritten as (choosing a flat metric  $h_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$ ) [20, 22]

$$S_0 + \int_W \left( -C_a^m J_m^a + \frac{1}{2} C_a^m C_b^n \left[ G_{mn} \eta^{ab} + B_{mn} \epsilon^{ab} \right] \right) \quad (2.21)$$

where  $S_0$  is the ungauged action,

$$G_{mn} = g_{ij} k_m^i k_n^j \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$J_m^a = (k_{mi} \eta^{ab} - v_{mi} \epsilon^{ab}) \partial_b X^i \quad (2.23)$$

Introducing light-cone world-sheet coordinates  $\sigma^a = (\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  with  $\eta^{+-} = \epsilon^{+-} = 1$ , this can be rewritten as

$$S_0 + \int_W \left( -C_+^m J_m^+ - C_-^m J_m^- + C_+^m E_{mn} C_-^n \right) \quad (2.24)$$



where

$$E_{mn} = G_{mn} + B_{mn} \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$J_{m\pm} = (k_{mi} \pm v_{mi})\partial_{\pm}X^i \tag{2.26}$$

The ungauged action can be written as

$$\int_W d^2\sigma \mathcal{E}_{ij}\partial_+X^i\partial_-X^j \tag{2.27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij} = g_{ij} + b_{ij} \tag{2.28}$$

If  $E_{mn}(X)$  is invertible for all  $X$ , then writing the gauge fields  $C = \tilde{C} + \Phi$  where

$$\tilde{C}_+ = (E^t)^{-1}J_+, \quad \tilde{C}_- = E^{-1}J_- \tag{2.29}$$

gives

$$S' = S_0 - \int_W d^2\sigma J_m^-(E^{-1})^{mn}J_n^+ \tag{2.30}$$

plus

$$S_{\Phi} = \int_W d^2\sigma \Phi_+^m E_{mn} \Phi_-^n \tag{2.31}$$

Note that  $\tilde{C}$  transforms as a gauge field under the local transformations (2.8)  $\delta\tilde{C} = d\alpha$  [20], so that  $\Phi_a^m$  are globally-defined world-sheet vectors. The action  $S_{\Phi}$  involves no derivatives so that the  $\Phi$  are auxiliary fields with no dynamics. The action (2.30) can be written as

$$\int_W d^2\sigma \mathcal{E}'_{ij}\partial_+X^i\partial_-X^j \tag{2.32}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{ij}$  has been transformed to

$$\mathcal{E}'_{ij} = \mathcal{E}_{ij} - (k_{mi} + v_{mi})(E^{-1})^{mn}(k_{mj} - v_{mj}) \tag{2.33}$$

This amounts to gauging using the connection  $\tilde{C}$ , and so is automatically invariant under the local transformations (2.8).

If the isometry acts without fixed points and if  $g_{ij}$  induces a positive-definite metric on the fibres, then  $G_{mn}$  is invertible. The matrix  $E$  is degenerate at points  $X_0$  at which there is a vector  $U$  such that  $E(X_0)U = 0$ , so that  $G_{mn}U^n = -B_{mn}U^n$ . This implies that  $G_{mn}U^mU^n = 0$  so that at  $X_0$  there is a Killing vector  $K$  (some linear combination of the  $k_m$ ) that becomes null. For positive definite  $G_{mn}$ , this implies  $K(X_0) = 0$  so that  $X_0$  is a fixed point for  $K$ . Then  $E$  is invertible if and only if the isometry group acts without fixed points.

### 3. The geometry of gauged sigma models

Suppose the abelian isometry group  $G$  generated by the Killing vectors acts without fixed points. Then the quotient  $M/G$  defines the space of orbits  $N$ , and is a manifold. As a result,  $M$  is a bundle over  $N$  with fibres  $G$ , with projection  $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ . A form  $\omega$  satisfying  $\iota_m \omega = 0$  will be said to be *horizontal*, one satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_m \omega = 0$  will be said to be *invariant* and one that is both horizontal and invariant is *basic*. Equivariant cohomology is the cohomology of basic forms, and the obstructions to gauging can be characterised in terms of this cohomology [23–25]. A metric  $g$  on  $M$  will be said to be horizontal if the Killing vectors  $k_m$  are null and satisfy  $g(k_m, V) = 0$  for all  $V$ , and a horizontal metric which is invariant ( $\mathcal{L}g = 0$ ) will be said to be basic. Basic metrics and forms on  $M$  can be thought of as metrics and forms on  $N$ , as they are the images under the pull-back  $\pi^*$  of metrics and forms on  $N$ .

#### 3.1 A single Killing vector

Before proceeding to the general case, it will be useful to discuss the case  $d = 1$  with one Killing vector  $k$ . Let  $G = g_{ij}k^i k^j$ , and it will be assumed that  $G$  is nowhere vanishing (so that there are no fixed points). Then  $M$  is a line or circle bundle over some manifold  $N$ , with fibres given by the orbits of  $k$ . It is useful to define the dual one-form  $\xi$  with components  $\xi_i = G^{-1}g_{ij}k^j$ , so that  $\iota \xi = 1$  where  $\iota$  is the interior product with  $k$ . The 2-form

$$F = d\xi \tag{3.1}$$

is horizontal

$$\iota F = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

The metric takes the form

$$g = \bar{g} + G \xi \otimes \xi \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\bar{g}(k, \cdot) = 0$  so that  $\bar{g}$  is basic and can be thought of as a metric on the quotient space  $N$ . In adapted local coordinates  $X^i = (X, Y^\mu)$  in which

$$k^i \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \tag{3.4}$$

and  $Y^\mu$  are coordinates on  $N$ , the Lie derivative is the partial derivative with respect to  $X$ , so that  $g_{ij}, H_{ijk}$  are independent of  $X$ . Then

$$\xi = dX + A \tag{3.5}$$

where  $A = A_\mu(Y) dY^\mu$  satisfies  $\iota A = 0$  and

$$dA = F \tag{3.6}$$

Then  $A$  is a connection 1-form for  $M$  viewed as a bundle over  $N$ .

If the symmetry is gaugable, there is a globally defined  $v$  with  $\iota H = dv$  and

$$\iota v = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_k v = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\tilde{F} = dv \quad (3.8)$$

is also horizontal,  $\iota\tilde{F} = 0$ . In the adapted coordinates,  $v = v_\mu dY^\mu$ .

The 3-form  $H$  can be decomposed as

$$H = h + (\iota H) \wedge dX = h + (dv) \wedge dX \quad (3.9)$$

where  $h$  is a horizontal closed 3-form,  $\iota h = 0$  and  $dh = 0$ . As a result  $H = db$  where

$$b = \bar{b} + v \wedge dX \quad (3.10)$$

and  $h = d\bar{b}$ . There are similar expressions using  $\xi$  instead of  $dX$

$$H = \bar{H} + dv \wedge \xi = \bar{H} + \tilde{F} \wedge \xi \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\bar{H} = d\bar{b} - \tilde{F} \wedge A \quad (3.12)$$

satisfies

$$d\bar{H} = -F \wedge \tilde{F} \quad (3.13)$$

and is horizontal,  $\iota\bar{H} = 0$ , and so basic. Here  $\bar{H}$  is a globally defined 3-form.

If the orbit of  $M$  is a circle so that  $M$  is a circle bundle, the topology is characterised by the first Chern class,  $[F] \in H^2(N)$ . The topology associated with the  $b$ -field is characterised by the cohomology class  $[\tilde{F}] \in H^2(N)$ , and this will be referred to as the  $H$ -class. It will be seen in section 5 that, when appropriately normalised, both correspond to integral cohomology classes.

Next, consider the geometry  $(M, g', H')$  obtained by gauging  $k$  and eliminating the gauge field. It is given by (2.33), which implies

$$\mathcal{E}'_{ij} = \mathcal{E}_{ij} - (G\xi_i + \bar{\xi}_j)G^{-1}(G\xi_j - \bar{\xi}_i) \quad (3.14)$$

and the notation  $\bar{\xi}_i \equiv v_i$  has been introduced for comparison with later formulae. The symmetric and anti-symmetric parts give

$$g'_{ij} = g_{ij} - G\xi_i\xi_j + G^{-1}\bar{\xi}_i\bar{\xi}_j, \quad b'_{ij} = b_{ij} - \bar{\xi}_i\xi_j + \xi_i\bar{\xi}_j \quad (3.15)$$

Then

$$g' = g - G\xi \otimes \xi + G^{-1}\bar{\xi} \otimes \bar{\xi} = \bar{g} + G^{-1}\bar{\xi} \otimes \bar{\xi} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$H' = H - \tilde{F} \wedge \xi + \bar{\xi} \wedge F = \bar{H} + \bar{\xi} \wedge F \quad (3.17)$$

are both horizontal, using  $\iota\bar{\xi} = 0$ ,

$$\iota H' = 0, \quad g'(k, \cdot) = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

as well as invariant. This is sufficient to ensure that  $\delta X^i = \alpha k^i$  is a symmetry of the sigma model on  $(M, g', H')$ . The Killing direction is null for the metric  $g'$ . One can then take the

quotient with respect to the isometry to obtain a sigma model on the quotient space  $N$ , with geometry  $(N, g', H')$ . More physically, the local symmetry can be fixed by choosing  $X(\sigma) = X_0$  for some point on the orbit and the sigma model reduces to one on  $N$  with coordinates  $Y^\mu$ . This amounts to choosing a section of the bundle, and in general there will not be a global section, so that one may need to choose different gauge choices  $X_0$  over each patch in  $N$ .

### 3.2 Several Killing vectors

Consider  $(M, g, H)$  with  $d$  commuting Killing vectors, and suppose that  $G_{mn}$  and  $E_{mn}$  are invertible everywhere. It is useful to define the one-forms  $\xi^m$  with components

$$\xi_i^m = G^{mn} g_{ij} k_n^j \tag{3.19}$$

so that they are dual to the Killing vectors

$$\xi^m(k_n) = \delta^m_n \tag{3.20}$$

and satisfy

$$\iota_m F^n = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$F^m = d\xi^m \tag{3.22}$$

The metric can be written as

$$g = \bar{g} + G_{mn} \xi^m \otimes \xi^n \tag{3.23}$$

where  $\bar{g}$  is a basic metric with  $\bar{g}(k_m, \cdot) = 0$  so that it can be viewed as a metric on  $N$ .

In adapted local coordinates  $X^i = (X^m, Y^\mu)$  in which

$$k_m^i \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^m} \tag{3.24}$$

the Lie derivative is the partial derivative with respect to  $X^m$ , so that  $g_{ij}, H_{ijk}$  are independent of  $X^m$ . Then

$$\xi^m = dX^m + A^m \tag{3.25}$$

where  $A^m = A_\mu^m(Y) dY^\mu$  satisfies  $\iota_m A^n = 0$  and

$$dA^m = F^m \tag{3.26}$$

satisfies  $\iota_m F^n = 0$ . The  $A^m$  are connection 1-forms for  $M$  viewed as a bundle over  $N$ .

Any form on  $M$  can be expanded using either the forms  $dX^m$  defined in a local coordinate patch, or using the globally-defined one-forms  $\xi^m$ . From (2.14),

$$v_m = -B_{mn} \xi^n + \bar{\xi}_m \tag{3.27}$$

for some globally-defined basic one-form  $\bar{\xi}_m$ . Defining the basic 2-form

$$\tilde{F}_m = d\bar{\xi}_m \tag{3.28}$$

one has

$$dv_m = \tilde{F}_m - B_{mn}F^n - dB_{mn} \wedge \xi^n \quad (3.29)$$

Note that  $dB_{mn}$  is basic. The 1-forms  $\bar{\xi}$  are given in terms of  $v$  by

$$\bar{\xi}_m = [v_m - (\iota_n v_m)\xi^n] + (B_{mn} + \iota_n v_m)A^n \quad (3.30)$$

The 3-form  $H$  can be written as

$$H = \bar{H} + (\iota_m H) \wedge \xi^m + \frac{1}{2}(\iota_m \iota_n H) \wedge \xi^m \wedge \xi^n - \frac{1}{6}(\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H) \wedge \xi^m \wedge \xi^n \wedge \xi^p \quad (3.31)$$

where  $\iota_m \bar{H} = 0$ . Using (2.10), (2.16), (2.17) this becomes

$$H = \bar{H} + (dv_m) \wedge \xi^m - \frac{1}{2}(dB_{mn}) \wedge \xi^m \wedge \xi^n \quad (3.32)$$

giving

$$H = \bar{H} + (\tilde{F}_m - B_{mn}F^n) \wedge \xi^m + \frac{1}{2}(dB_{mn}) \wedge \xi^m \wedge \xi^n \quad (3.33)$$

or equivalently

$$H = \bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + dB \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}B_{mn}\xi^m \wedge \xi^n \quad (3.35)$$

is a globally-defined 2-form. Closure of  $H$  requires that  $\bar{H}$  satisfy

$$d\bar{H} = -\tilde{F}_m \wedge F^m \quad (3.36)$$

As  $\bar{H}$  is basic and  $\bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m$  is closed,

$$\bar{H} + F^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_m = \bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + d(\xi^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_m) \quad (3.37)$$

is closed and basic, and so locally this is  $d\bar{b}$  where  $\bar{b}$  is a basic 2-form. Then locally  $H = db$  where

$$b = \bar{b} + \xi^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_m + B \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\bar{H} = d\bar{b} - F^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_m \quad (3.39)$$

There are now  $d$  1st Chern classes  $[F^m] \in H^2(N)$  and  $d$   $H$ -classes  $[\tilde{F}_m] \in H^2(N)$ .

Consider now the geometry  $(M, g', H')$  arising from eliminating  $C$ , given by (2.33). Rewriting in terms of  $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ , a remarkable simplification occurs. The equations (3.19), (3.27) imply

$$k_{mi} - v_{mi} = E_{mn}\xi^n - \bar{\xi}_m, \quad k_{mi} + v_{mi} = E_{nm}\xi^n + \bar{\xi}_m \quad (3.40)$$

so that

$$J_- = (E_{mn}\xi_i^n - \bar{\xi}_{mi})\partial_- X^i, \quad J_+ = (E_{nm}\xi_i^n + \bar{\xi}_{mi})\partial_+ X^i \quad (3.41)$$

and the induced connections  $\tilde{C}$  are

$$\tilde{C}_-^m = (\xi_i^m - (E^{-1})^{mn}\bar{\xi}_{ni})\partial_- X^i, \quad \tilde{C}_+^m = (\xi_i^m + (E^{-1})^{nm}\bar{\xi}_{ni})\partial_+ X^i \quad (3.42)$$

Using (3.25), this can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{C}_a^m = A_i^m \partial_a X^i + \Phi_a^m \quad (3.43)$$

where  $\Phi_a^m$  is a globally-defined one form on  $W$  constructed using  $\bar{\xi}$ , plus a pure gauge term  $\partial_a X^m$ . Thus the connections  $C$  and  $\tilde{C}$  on  $W$  are given by the pull-back of the connection  $A$  on the bundle  $M \rightarrow N$ , plus global one-forms, so that the  $U(1)^d$  bundle over the world-sheet is the pull-back of the torus bundle over  $N$ .

The new geometry obtained by integrating out the gauge fields is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'_{ij} &= \mathcal{E}_{ij} - (E_{pm} \xi_i^p + \bar{\xi}_{mi})(E^{-1})^{mn} (E_{nq} \xi_j^q - \bar{\xi}_{nj}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{ij} - \xi_i^m E_{mn} \xi_j^n + \bar{\xi}_{mi} (E^{-1})^{mn} \bar{\xi}_{nj} - \bar{\xi}_{mi} \xi_j^m + \xi_i^m \bar{\xi}_{mj} \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Defining the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts

$$\tilde{G}^{mn} = (E^{-1})^{(mn)}, \quad \tilde{B}^{mn} = (E^{-1})^{[mn]} \quad (3.45)$$

the geometry is given by

$$g' = g - G_{mn} \xi^m \otimes \xi^n + \tilde{G}^{mn} \bar{\xi}_m \otimes \bar{\xi}_n \quad (3.46)$$

$$b' = b - \bar{\xi}_m \wedge \xi^m - \xi_i^m B_{mn} \xi_j^n + \bar{\xi}_{mi} \tilde{B}^{mn} \bar{\xi}_{nj} \quad (3.47)$$

Using (3.23),

$$g' = \bar{g} + \tilde{G}^{mn} \bar{\xi}_m \otimes \bar{\xi}_n \quad (3.48)$$

while

$$H' = H - \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + \bar{\xi}_m \wedge F^m \quad (3.49)$$

so that from (3.34), (3.35)

$$H' = \bar{H} + \bar{\xi}_m \wedge F^m + d\tilde{B} \quad (3.50)$$

where

$$\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{B}^{mn} \bar{\xi}_m \wedge \bar{\xi}_n \quad (3.51)$$

Thus the gauging together with elimination of gauge fields leads to the changes

$$g = \bar{g} + G_{mn} \xi^m \otimes \xi^n \rightarrow g' = \bar{g} + \tilde{G}^{mn} \bar{\xi}_m \otimes \bar{\xi}_n \quad (3.52)$$

$$H = \bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + dB \rightarrow H' = \bar{H} + \bar{\xi}_m \wedge F^m + d\tilde{B} \quad (3.53)$$

which then interchanges  $\xi$  with  $\bar{\xi}$  and takes  $E \rightarrow E^{-1}$ .

Note that  $g', H'$  are invariant and horizontal with respect to all of the Killing vectors

$$\iota_m H' = 0, \quad g'(k_m, \cdot) = 0 \quad (3.54)$$

so that the sigma-model on  $(M, g', H')$  is invariant under the local symmetries  $\delta X^i = \alpha^m k_m^i$ . This can be checked directly, or by noting that eliminating any one of the  $C_m$  gives a geometry that is horizontal with respect to the corresponding Killing vector, and then repeating the argument for each of the  $d$  gauge fields in turn. Again one can take the quotient under the action of the isometry group to obtain a sigma model on  $(N, g', H')$ . This can be thought of as fixing the symmetry by choosing local sections of the bundle, fixing all of the coordinates  $X^m$ , so that the sigma model reduces to one on  $N$  with coordinates  $Y^\mu$ .

### 3.3 Global symmetries

Suppose the orbits of each of the  $k_m$  are periodic, so that  $M$  is a torus bundle over  $N$ . The general Killing vector with periodic orbits is of the form  $\sum_m N^m k_m$  where  $N^m$  are integers. One can then change from the basis  $\{k_m\}$  to a new basis  $\{k'_m\}$  of Killing vectors with periodic orbits

$$k'_m = L_m{}^n k_n \tag{3.55}$$

where  $L_m{}^n$  is any matrix in  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ . The components of  $G_{mn}, B_{mn}, \xi^m, v_m$  in the new basis are then

$$G' = LGL^t, \quad B' = LBL^t, \quad \xi' = (L^t)^{-1}\xi, \quad v' = Lv \tag{3.56}$$

This gives a natural action of  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  in which upper indices  $m$  transform in the vector representation and lower indices transform in the co-vector representation. The periodic coordinates  $X^m$  adapted to  $k_m$  and the coordinates  $X'^m$  adapted to  $k'_m$  with

$$k_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^m}, \quad k'_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial X'^m} \tag{3.57}$$

are related by

$$X'^m = (L^{-1})_n{}^m X^n \tag{3.58}$$

which is a large diffeomorphism of the torus.

The metric and  $b$ -field are given in terms of  $G_{mn}, B_{mn}, \xi^m, v_m$ . Then  $G', B', v', \xi'$  determine the same geometry as  $G, B, v, \xi$  if they are related by a  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  transformation, as one is transformed to the other by a change of basis. Then  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  is a symmetry, as target spaces related by the action of  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  are equivalent and determine the same physical models.

A shift

$$B_{mn} \rightarrow B_{mn} + \beta_{mn} \tag{3.59}$$

where  $\beta_{mn}$  are constants leaves  $H$  unchanged and so the classical physics is unaltered. The action changes by

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_W \beta_{mn} dX^m \wedge dX^n = \int_{\phi(W)} \beta \tag{3.60}$$

which is the integral of the 2-form  $\beta$  over the embedding of the world-sheet in the target space  $M$ . For compact world-sheets, this gives a contribution of  $\exp ik \int \beta$  to the functional integral and so this will be a symmetry provided  $\frac{k}{2\pi} \beta$  represents an integral cohomology class.

Then the theory is invariant under  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  and integral shifts of  $B$ , in the sense that acting with these gives a physically equivalent theory. For non-compact fibres, the situation is similar but the symmetries become the continuous symmetries of  $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$  and arbitrary constant shifts of  $B$ .

#### 4. Gauging the ungaugable

Consider now the general case in which  $(M, g, H)$  is invariant under the action of an abelian isometry group with  $\mathcal{L}_m H = 0$  but in which the conditions for the gauging of the corresponding sigma-model are not necessarily satisfied, so that their consequences discussed in the previous sections also do not apply. Then  $\iota_m H$  is closed but need not be exact. Given a suitable good open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $M$ , in each patch  $U_\alpha$  a one-form  $v_m^\alpha$  can be found such that

$$\iota_m H = dv_m^\alpha \tag{4.1}$$

In the overlap  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , the difference between the  $v$ 's must be closed and so exact, so that

$$v_m^\alpha - v_m^\beta = d\lambda_m^{\alpha\beta} \tag{4.2}$$

for some  $\lambda^{\alpha\beta}$ . Then in triple overlaps,  $\lambda^{\alpha\beta} + \lambda^{\beta\gamma} + \lambda^{\gamma\alpha} = c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  for some constants  $c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ . If these constant cocycles vanish in all triple overlaps, then each  $v_m$  is the connection for some line or circle bundle over  $M$ , and we now restrict ourselves to this case. This can be viewed as a restriction on the group action on the B-field. There are then  $d$  such connections  $v_m$ , so that they combine to form the connection for some bundle  $\hat{M}$  over  $M$  with  $d$ -dimensional fibres. In the next section, it will be seen that this should be taken to be a torus bundle, with fibres  $U(1)^d$ . Choosing fibre coordinates  $\hat{X}_m^\alpha$  over each patch  $U_\alpha$ , with transition functions

$$\hat{X}_m^\alpha - \hat{X}_m^\beta = -\lambda_m^{\alpha\beta} \tag{4.3}$$

then

$$\hat{v}_m = d\hat{X}_m^\alpha + v_m^\alpha \tag{4.4}$$

are globally defined 1-forms on  $\hat{M}$  as  $\hat{v}_m^\alpha = \hat{v}_m^\beta$  over  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . In this section, it will be shown that the sigma model on  $M$  can be lifted to a sigma-model on  $\hat{M}$  and that under certain circumstances the isometries can be lifted to gaugable ones on  $\hat{M}$ , even if they were ungaugable on  $M$ .

Then  $\hat{M}$  with coordinates  $\hat{X}^I = (X^i, \hat{X}_m) = (Y_\mu, X^m, \hat{X}_m)$  is a bundle over  $M$  with projection  $\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$  with  $\pi : (X^i, \hat{X}_m) \rightarrow (X^i)$ . A metric  $\hat{g}$  and closed 3-form  $\hat{H}$  can be chosen on  $\hat{M}$  with no  $\hat{X}_m$  components, i.e.

$$\hat{g} = \pi^* g, \quad \hat{H} = \pi^* H \tag{4.5}$$

where  $\pi^*$  is the pull-back of the projection. The pull-back will often be omitted in what follows, so that the above conditions will be abbreviated to  $\hat{g} = g, \hat{H} = H$ . Then the only non-vanishing components of  $\hat{g}_{IJ}$  are  $g_{ij}$  and  $\partial/\partial\hat{X}_m$  is a null vector, while the only non-vanishing components of  $\hat{H}_{IJK}$  are  $H_{ijk}$ .

It will be convenient to lift the Killing vectors  $k_m$  on  $M$  to vectors  $\hat{k}_m$  on  $\hat{M}$  that act on  $\hat{X}_m$  as well as  $X^i$ , so that

$$\hat{k}_m = k_m + \Theta_{mn} \frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{X}_n} \tag{4.6}$$



for some  $\Theta_{mn}$ . For  $\hat{k}_m$  to be vector fields on  $\hat{M}$  requires, using (4.3), that  $\Theta_{mn}$  have transition functions

$$\Theta_{mn}^\alpha - \Theta_{mn}^\beta = -\iota_m d\lambda_n^{\alpha\beta} \quad (4.7)$$

As  $g, H$  are independent of  $\hat{X}$ , the  $\hat{k}_m$  are Killing vectors on  $\hat{M}$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m \hat{g} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m \hat{H} = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

For any choice of  $\Theta_{mn}$ , there is an action generated by the Killing vector fields  $\hat{k}_m$  on the space  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}, \hat{H})$  and we now turn to the question of whether this satisfies the conditions for gauging reviewed in section 2. If  $\hat{\iota}_m$  denotes the interior product with  $\hat{k}_m$ , then

$$\hat{\iota}_m \hat{v}_n = \iota_m v_n + \Theta_{mn} \quad (4.9)$$

If  $\Theta_{mn}$  is chosen to be

$$\Theta_{mn} = B_{mn} - \iota_m v_n \quad (4.10)$$

for some antisymmetric  $B_{mn} = -B_{nm}$ , then

$$\hat{\iota}_m \hat{v}_n + \hat{\iota}_n \hat{v}_m = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

Further, as  $dv = d\hat{v}$ ,

$$\hat{\iota}_m \hat{H} = d\hat{v}_m \quad (4.12)$$

Next, the Lie derivative of  $\hat{v}$  with respect to  $\hat{k}$  is

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m \hat{v}_n = \hat{\iota}_m \hat{\iota}_n \hat{H} + d\hat{\iota}_m \hat{v}_n = \iota_m \iota_n H + dB_{mn} \quad (4.13)$$

so that if

$$\iota_m \iota_n H = -dB_{mn} \quad (4.14)$$

then

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m \hat{v}_n = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

Then  $\Theta$  has the transition functions (4.7) provided  $B_{mn}$  are globally defined functions on  $M$ ,  $B_{mn}^\alpha = B_{mn}^\beta$ , and this together with (4.14) implies that  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is exact.

Finally, consider the algebra for the isometries generated by the  $\hat{k}_m$ . The Lie bracket is

$$[\hat{k}_m, \hat{k}_n] = 2\mathcal{L}_{[m}\Theta_{n]p} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{X}_p} \quad (4.16)$$

Using (4.14) and  $\mathcal{L}_m B_{np} = \iota_m dB_{np}$  since  $B_{np}$  is a 0-form, one finds

$$\mathcal{L}_m B_{np} = -\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H \quad (4.17)$$

while (4.1) implies

$$2\mathcal{L}_{[m}\iota_{n]}v_p = -\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H \quad (4.18)$$

Then the Lie bracket is

$$[\hat{k}_m, \hat{k}_n] = -(\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H) \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{X}_p} \quad (4.19)$$

so that the algebra is abelian if

$$\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0 \tag{4.20}$$

If this holds, then (4.17) implies that  $B_{mn}$  is constant along the orbits of  $k$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_m B_{np} = 0 \tag{4.21}$$

Then  $B_{np}$  are basic and can be regarded as functions on  $N$ .

There are a further  $d$  vector fields on  $\hat{M}$  defined by

$$\tilde{k}^m = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{X}_m} \tag{4.22}$$

and as  $g, H$  are independent of  $\hat{X}_m$ , these are Killing vectors preserving  $H$ . Then  $\hat{M}$  has  $2d$  commuting Killing vectors  $\hat{k}_m, \tilde{k}^m$ . Assuming  $G_{mn} = \hat{g}(\hat{k}_m, \hat{k}_n) = g(k_m, k_n)$  is invertible, the one forms

$$\hat{\xi}^m \equiv G^{mn} \hat{g}_{IJ} \hat{k}_n^I dX^J = \xi^m \tag{4.23}$$

are the same as  $\xi^m$ . The one-forms  $\tilde{\xi}_m$  defined by

$$\hat{v}_m = \tilde{\xi}_m - B_{mn} \xi^n \tag{4.24}$$

are horizontal with respect to  $\hat{k}_m$ .

It is useful to choose local coordinates  $(\tilde{X}^m, \tilde{X}_m, \tilde{Y}^\mu)$  adapted to the  $2d$  commuting isometries, so that

$$\hat{k}_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}^m}, \quad \tilde{k}^m = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_m} \tag{4.25}$$

The required change of coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}^m &= X^m \\ \tilde{Y}^\mu &= Y^\mu \\ \tilde{X}_m &= \hat{X}_m + f_m \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where  $f_m(X^m, Y^\mu)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial X^n} = -\Theta_{nm} \tag{4.27}$$

so that

$$d\tilde{X}_m = d\hat{X}_m - \Theta_{nm} dX^n + f_{m,\mu} dY^\mu \tag{4.28}$$

The integrability condition  $\partial_{[p} \Theta_{n]m} = 0$  for (4.27) is satisfied as a result of (4.20). Then in the coordinate system  $(X^m, \hat{X}_m, Y^\mu)$  many of the results derived in section 3 can be applied. In particular,

$$\tilde{\xi}_m = d\tilde{X}_m + \tilde{A}_m \tag{4.29}$$

where  $\tilde{A}_m = \tilde{A}_{m\mu} dY^\mu$  is a connection one-form that is horizontal with respect to  $\hat{k}_m, \tilde{k}^m$ , and

$$\tilde{F}_m = d\tilde{\xi}_m = d\tilde{A}_m \tag{4.30}$$

is also horizontal.

Then the geometry  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}, \hat{H})$  with doubled fibres can be constructed provided the closed 2-form  $\iota_m H$  is the curvature for some line bundle. The sigma-model on  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}, \hat{H})$  has an abelian isometry symmetry generated by the  $\hat{k}_m$  which can be gauged precisely if the original geometry  $(M, g, H)$  has as an isometry generated by the  $k_m$  satisfying the two conditions that (i)  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is exact, so that there are well-defined functions  $B_{mn}$  on  $M$  satisfying (4.14), and (ii)  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ . These are considerably weaker than the conditions needed for the isometry of  $(M, g, H)$  to be gaugable; here  $v_m^\alpha$  need not be globally defined, and is not required to satisfy either  $\mathcal{L}_m v_n = 0$  or  $\iota_m v_n = -\iota_n v_m$ . A more general construction in which condition (i) is relaxed will be discussed in later sections.

The gauged action is now obtained by inserting the appropriate hatted objects in (2.20) or (2.21). The action (2.20) becomes

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2} \int_W g_{ij} DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \int_V \left( \frac{1}{3} H_{ijk} DX^i \wedge DX^j \wedge DX^k + \mathcal{G}^m \wedge \hat{v}_{mI} D\hat{X}^I \right) \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$D_a \hat{X}^I = \partial_a \hat{X}^I - C_a^m \hat{k}_m^I \quad (4.32)$$

so that

$$D_a \hat{X}_m = \partial_a \hat{X}_m + \Theta_{mn} C_a^n \quad (4.33)$$

The action can be rewritten as

$$\hat{S} = S_0 + \int_W \left( -C_a^m \hat{J}_m^a + \frac{1}{2} C_a^m C_b^n \left[ G_{mn} \eta^{ab} + B_{mn} \epsilon^{ab} \right] \right) \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$\hat{J}_m^a = J_m^a - \epsilon^{ab} \partial_b \hat{X}_m \quad (4.35)$$

(Note that  $\hat{g}_{IJ} \hat{k}_m^J d\hat{X}^I = g_{ij} k_m^i dX^j$ , and  $\hat{\xi}^m = \xi^m$  is dual to  $\hat{k}_m$ .)

As before, shifting the gauge fields  $C$  gives the action (2.31) plus

$$S' = S_0 - \int_W d^2 \sigma \hat{J}_m^- (E^{-1})^{mn} \hat{J}_n^+ \quad (4.36)$$

so that the original action

$$S_0 = \int_W d^2 \sigma \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{IJ} \partial_+ \hat{X}^I \partial_- \hat{X}^J = \int_W d^2 \sigma \mathcal{E}_{ij} \partial_+ X^i \partial_- X^j \quad (4.37)$$

is changed by replacing  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{IJ}$  with

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}'_{IJ} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{IJ} - (\hat{k}_{mI} + \hat{v}_{mI})(E^{-1})^{mn} (\hat{k}_{mJ} - \hat{v}_{mJ}) \quad (4.38)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{E}'_{IJ} = \mathcal{E}_{IJ} - (E_{pm} \xi_I^p + \tilde{\xi}_{mI})(E^{-1})^{mn} (E_{nq} \xi_J^q - \tilde{\xi}_{nJ}) \quad (4.39)$$

with symmetric and anti-symmetric parts

$$g' = g - G_{mn} \xi^m \otimes \xi^n + \tilde{G}^{mn} \tilde{\xi}_m \otimes \tilde{\xi}_n \quad (4.40)$$

$$b' = b - \tilde{\xi}_m \wedge \xi^m - \frac{1}{2} B_{mn} \xi^m \wedge \xi^n + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{B}^{mn} \tilde{\xi}_m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_n \quad (4.41)$$

## 5. Global structure and large gauge transformations

In the last section, it was seen that adding extra coordinates  $\hat{X}$  enables one to overcome obstructions to gauge a wide class of sigma-models. This involved replacing  $v$  with  $\hat{v} = d\hat{X} + v$  and the gauged action  $\hat{S}$  (4.34) differs from (2.21) by an extra term proportional to  $\hat{v} - v$ ,

$$\int_W C^m \wedge d\hat{X}_m \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose that the orbits of the  $k_m$  are compact, so that  $X^m$  are periodic coordinates on a torus. Then the question arises as to whether the new coordinates are also periodic. In [7], it was argued that the invariance of the extra term in (5.1) under large gauge transformations requires that  $\hat{X}$  be periodic. However, the situation is complicated due to the fact that  $\hat{X}$  is not invariant under the transformations generated by  $\hat{k}$ , and the action  $S_0$  in (2.21) may not be invariant under large gauge transformations in general. In this section, it will be shown that  $\tilde{X}_m$  are periodic coordinates for a torus dual to the  $X^m$  torus. Note that from (4.26), periodicity conditions for  $\tilde{X}$  are not consistent with periodicity conditions for  $\hat{X}$  unless the components of  $\Theta_{mn}$  are rational numbers, and as  $\Theta_{mn}$  varies continuously over  $N$  this will not be the case in general. With the coordinates  $\tilde{X}$  periodically identified, the orbits of the  $\tilde{k}^m$  are periodic and the space  $\hat{M}$  is a torus bundle over  $N$  with fibre  $T^{2d}$ .

### 5.1 Simplified form of gauged sigma-models

Consider the gauged sigma-model on  $(M, g, H)$  discussed in sections 2,3. As

$$H = \bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + dB \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2} B_{mn} \xi^m \wedge \xi^n \tag{5.3}$$

is a globally-defined 2-form, the pull-back  $\phi^*B$  defines a WZ-term  $\int_W \phi^*B$  which can be gauged by minimal coupling. The gauged action is then the sum of the minimal coupling term

$$S_{min} = \frac{1}{2} \int_W g_{ij} DX^i \wedge *DX^j + B_{mn} \xi_i^m \xi_j^n DX^i \wedge DX^j \tag{5.4}$$

and a non-minimal term

$$S_{non-min} = \int_V \left( \frac{1}{3} (H - dB)_{ijk} DX^i \wedge DX^j \wedge DX^k + \mathcal{G}^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_{mi} DX^i \right) \tag{5.5}$$

which can be rewritten locally as

$$S_{non-min} = \int_W (b - B) + C^m \wedge \bar{\xi}_m = \int_W d^2\sigma \epsilon^{ab} \left( \frac{1}{2} (b - B)_{ij} \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^j + C_a^m \bar{\xi}_{mi} \partial_b X^i \right) \tag{5.6}$$

where  $\bar{\xi}$  is defined by (3.27)

For the sigma-model on  $(\hat{M}, g, H)$  with the action of  $\hat{k}$  gauged, similar formulae apply with

$$S_{non-min} = \int_V \left( \frac{1}{3} (H - dB)_{ijk} DX^i \wedge DX^j \wedge DX^k + \mathcal{G}^m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_m DX^i \right) \quad (5.7)$$

The corresponding two-dimensional action is

$$S_{non-min} = \int_W (b - B) + C^m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_m \quad (5.8)$$

## 5.2 Large gauge transformations and global structure

A homology basis of one-cycles on  $\hat{M}$   $(\gamma_n, \tilde{\gamma}^n, \gamma_A)$  can be chosen so that  $\gamma_m$  is the one-cycle generated by  $k_m$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}^m$  is the one-cycle generated by  $\tilde{k}^m$ , and  $\gamma_A$  are one-cycles on  $N$ . Then the periods are

$$\oint_{\gamma_n} \xi^m = 2\pi R_m \delta^m_n, \quad \oint_{\tilde{\gamma}^n} \tilde{\xi}_m = 2\pi \tilde{R}_m \delta_m^n \quad (5.9)$$

for some  $R_m, \tilde{R}_m$ , and in the adapted coordinates this determines the periodicities

$$X^m \sim X^m + 2\pi R^m, \quad \tilde{X}_m \sim \tilde{X}_m + 2\pi \tilde{R}_m \quad (5.10)$$

From the form of the minimal couplings, for any 1-cycle  $g$  on  $W$ , the Wilson line  $\oint_g C$  transforms under a large gauge transformation  $g : W \rightarrow U(1)^d$  with winding numbers  $N^m$  ( $m = 1, \dots, d$ ) around  $\gamma$  as

$$\oint_g C^m \rightarrow \oint_g C^m + 2\pi N^m R^m \quad (5.11)$$

Then the change in the term  $\int C^m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_m$  in the non-minimal action (5.8) will leave the functional integral invariant provided the radii are inversely related, so that for each  $m$

$$2\pi k R_m \tilde{R}_m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (5.12)$$

The ambiguity in the three-dimensional form of the non-minimal term (5.7) for two 3-manifolds  $V, V'$  with the same boundary  $W$  is the integral over the compact 3-manifold  $V - V'$

$$S_{non-min}(V) - S_{non-min}(V') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V-V'} \mathcal{G}^m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_m DX^i \quad (5.13)$$

The integral of  $\mathcal{G}^m$  over any 2-cycle  $\Gamma \in W$  is

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{G}^m = 2\pi N R^m \quad (5.14)$$

for some integer  $N$ . Then the integral over the compact 3-manifold  $V - V'$  will not affect the functional integral provided the same condition (5.14) is satisfied.

Thus the torus generated by the  $\tilde{k}$  with coordinates  $\tilde{X}_m$  is dual to the torus generated by the  $k$  with coordinates  $X^m$ , with inversely related periodicities (5.14). A convenient choice is to take  $R_m = 1, \tilde{R} = 1/(2\pi k)$  for all  $m$ . For each  $m$ ,  $X^m/R^m$  has period  $2\pi$  and  $C^m/R^m$  is conventionally normalised, so that for any 2-cycle  $\Gamma \in N$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \Phi^m = 2\pi N R^m \quad (5.15)$$

for some integer  $N$ , so that  $(2\pi R^m)^{-1}[F^m]$  represents an integral cohomology class for each  $m$ . The condition that  $(k/2\pi)[H]$  is an integral cohomology class implies from (3.34) that  $(k/2\pi)[\tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m]$  should also be an integral cohomology class. Using (5.9), this implies that  $kR_m[\tilde{F}_m]$  be integral, and using (5.12) this implies that  $(2\pi\tilde{R}_m)^{-1}[\tilde{F}_m]$  is integral, so that the topology is partially characterised by  $d$  Chern-classes  $(2\pi R^m)^{-1}[F^m]$  and  $d$  dual Chern classes or  $H$ -classes  $(2\pi\tilde{R}_m)^{-1}[\tilde{F}_m]$  in  $H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Consider now the integration over  $\tilde{X}_m$  for arbitrary  $W$ , following [3, 4, 7]. On a general Riemann surface  $W$ ,  $\tilde{X}_m(\sigma)$  can be written in terms of a function  $x_m(\sigma)$  and a winding term, so that

$$d\tilde{X}_m(\sigma) = dx_m(\sigma) + \sum_r 2\pi N_m^r \tilde{R}_m \omega_r(\sigma) \tag{5.16}$$

where  $\{\omega_r\}$  is a basis of harmonic 1-forms on  $W$  (normalised to have integral periods) and  $N_m^r$  are integers. Then the only dependence on  $\tilde{X}$  of (5.8) is through the term  $C^m \wedge d\tilde{X}_m$ , so that using (5.16), the functional integral over  $\tilde{X}_m$  becomes a functional integral over  $x_m$  and a sum over the integers  $N_m^r$ . The  $x_m$  are lagrange multipliers imposing the constraint  $\mathcal{G}^m = 0$ , so that  $C^m$  are flat connections, while the sum over the integers  $N_m^r$  imposes the constraint that the Wilson lines  $\oint C$  all vanish, so that the connection  $C$  is pure gauge. Then a suitable gauge choice is  $C = 0$ , in which case the ungauged model is recovered.

## 6. T-duality

### 6.1 T-dualising on $d$ circles

If  $X^m$  are coordinates on a torus, the  $\tilde{X}_m$  are coordinates on the dual torus.  $M$  is a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$ , and  $\hat{M}$  is a torus bundle over  $M$  and so a  $T^{2d}$  bundle over  $N$ . With these periodicities, it was seen in the last section that  $\tilde{X}_m$  is a lagrange multiplier imposing the condition that  $C$  is pure gauge, and so can be set to zero by a gauge choice, and the ungauged model on  $(M, g, H)$  is recovered. Then the gauged model on  $(\hat{M}, g, H)$  (4.31) or (4.34) is equivalent to the ungauged model on  $(M, g, H)$  for any  $W$ . However, one can instead integrate out the gauge fields  $C$  to get a sigma model with geometry  $(\hat{M}, g', H')$  given by (4.39) or (4.40). This still has the local gauge symmetry (2.8), and taking the quotient by the isometry group generated by the  $\hat{k}_m$  gives a sigma-model on  $\tilde{M}$ , the space of orbits, with metric  $\tilde{g} = g'$  and 3-form  $\tilde{H} = H'$ . Then the sigma-model on  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{H})$  is equivalent to that on  $(M, g, H)$  as they define equivalent quantum theories, since the functional integrals are related by different gauge choices for the master sigma-model on  $\hat{M}$ . The projection from the model on  $\hat{M}$  to that on  $\tilde{M}$  can be thought of as a gauge-fixing of the isometry symmetry by setting the  $X^m$  to constants locally.

The formulae from section 3 can be immediately applied to this case of the gauging of the sigma-model on  $\hat{M}$ , with the replacement  $\hat{\xi} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}$ . For  $d = 1$ , from (3.16), the metric  $g$  on  $M$  and dual metric  $\tilde{g}$  on  $\tilde{M}$  are

$$g = \bar{g} + G \xi \otimes \xi \tag{6.1}$$

$$\tilde{g} = \bar{g} + G^{-1} \tilde{\xi} \otimes \tilde{\xi} \tag{6.2}$$

while the 3-form  $H$  and dual 3-form  $\tilde{H}$  are, using (3.17),

$$H = \bar{H} + \xi \wedge \tilde{F} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\tilde{H} = \bar{H} + \tilde{\xi} \wedge F \tag{6.4}$$

and  $\bar{H}$  is a 3-form satisfying

$$d\bar{H} = -F \wedge \tilde{F} \tag{6.5}$$

where

$$F = d\xi, \quad \tilde{F} = d\tilde{\xi} \tag{6.6}$$

There is a Killing vector  $k$  on  $M$  dual to  $\xi$ , with  $g(k, V) = G\xi(V)$  for any vector field  $V$ , and a Killing vector  $\tilde{k}$  on  $\tilde{M}$  dual to  $\tilde{\xi}$ . The forms  $\bar{H}, F, \tilde{F}$  are basic with respect to  $k$  on  $M$  and with respect to  $\tilde{k}$  on  $\tilde{M}$ , so can be viewed as forms on  $N$ . These transformations agree with those found by Buscher locally, but are given in terms of globally defined objects. In local coordinates adapted to the Killing vectors,

$$k = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}, \quad \tilde{k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\xi = dX + A \tag{6.8}$$

$$\tilde{\xi} = d\tilde{X} + \tilde{A} \tag{6.9}$$

There is a straightforward generalisation to T-dualising on  $d$  circles. Using (3.52), (3.53) with  $\hat{\xi} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}$ , the original geometry  $(M, g, H)$  and the dual geometry  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{H})$  are given by

$$g = \bar{g} + G_{mn}\xi^m \otimes \xi^n \tag{6.10}$$

$$\tilde{g} = \bar{g} + \tilde{G}^{mn}\tilde{\xi}_m \otimes \tilde{\xi}_n \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$H = \bar{H} + \tilde{F}_m \wedge \xi^m + dB \tag{6.12}$$

$$\tilde{H} = \bar{H} + \tilde{\xi}_m \wedge F^m + d\tilde{B} \tag{6.13}$$

Here  $E = G + B$  and

$$\tilde{G}^{mn} = (E^{-1})^{(mn)}, \quad \tilde{B}^{mn} = (E^{-1})^{[mn]} \tag{6.14}$$

while

$$B = \frac{1}{2}B_{mn}\xi^m \wedge \xi^n, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}^{mn}\tilde{\xi}_m \wedge \tilde{\xi}_n \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$F^m = d\xi^m, \quad \tilde{F}_m = d\tilde{\xi}_m \tag{6.16}$$

while  $\bar{H}$  satisfies

$$d\bar{H} = -\tilde{F}_m \wedge F^m \tag{6.17}$$

There are  $d$  Killing vectors  $k_m$  on  $M$  dual to  $\xi^m$  and  $d$  Killing vectors  $\tilde{k}^m$  on  $\tilde{M}$  dual to  $\tilde{\xi}$  and the forms  $\bar{H}, F^m, \tilde{F}_m$  are basic with respect to  $k_m$  on  $M$  and with respect to  $\tilde{k}^m$  on  $\tilde{M}$ , so can be viewed as forms on  $N$ . In adapted local coordinates

$$k_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^m}, \quad \tilde{k}^m = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_m} \tag{6.18}$$

and

$$\xi^m = dX^m + A^m \tag{6.19}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_m = d\tilde{X}_m + \tilde{A}_m \tag{6.20}$$

Thus the effect of T-duality is to change the bundle  $M$  over  $N$  with fibres generated by  $k_m$  to the dual bundle  $\tilde{M}$  over  $N$  with fibres generated by  $\tilde{k}^m$  while the geometries are interchanged by

$$\xi^m \leftrightarrow \tilde{\xi}_m \tag{6.21}$$

and

$$E \leftrightarrow \tilde{E} \equiv E^{-1} \tag{6.22}$$

This implies that the 1st Chern classes are interchanged with the  $H$ -classes, which are the dual 1st Chern classes

$$[F^m] \leftrightarrow [\tilde{F}_m] \tag{6.23}$$

## 6.2 The action of $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$

The geometry of a  $T^d$  bundle  $(M, g, H)$  with  $d$  Killing vectors satisfying the conditions of section 4 is specified by the base geometry on  $N$  specified by  $\bar{g}, \bar{b}$ , the  $2d$  vector potentials  $A^m, \tilde{A}_m$ , and the scalars  $G_{mn}, B_{mn}$ . The base geometry is then  $(N, \bar{g}, \bar{H})$  with  $\bar{H}$  given by (3.39). There is a natural action of  $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$  on  $A^m, \tilde{A}_m$ , and  $G_{mn}, B_{mn}$  and it was seen that the transformation under  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  or under integral shifts of the  $B$  field takes the geometry to one defining the same quantum field theory. The T-duality transformation discussed in the last subsection dualises in  $d$  circles to obtain a dual geometry  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{H})$  defining the same quantum theory. Such a T-duality transformation can be applied to any  $d' \leq d$  of the circles, giving further dual geometries. The group generated by  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ , integral  $B$ -shifts and the T-dualities on any  $d' \leq d$  circles is  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$ . The action of  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  is given as follows.

Consider an  $O(d, d)$  transformation by

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.24}$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are  $d \times d$  matrices. This preserves the indefinite metric

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.25}$$



so that

$$h^t L h = L \Rightarrow a^t c + c^t a = 0, \quad b^t d + d^t b = 0, \quad a^t d + c^t b = \mathbb{1}. \quad (6.26)$$

The transformation rules for  $E$  give the non-linear transformation of  $E$  under a T-duality transformation  $h \in O(n, n)$  [9, 4, 1]

$$E' = (aE + b)(cE + d)^{-1}. \quad (6.27)$$

The  $2d$  1-forms  $\xi, \tilde{\xi}$  combine into a  $2d$  vector of 1-forms

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^m \\ \tilde{\xi}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.28)$$

transforming as a vector under  $O(d, d)$ :

$$\Xi \rightarrow \Xi' = h^{-1} \Xi \quad (6.29)$$

The group  $O(d, d, \mathbb{Z})$  consists of matrices (6.24) with integral entries.

The  $GL(d; \mathbb{Z})$  subgroup is

$$h_L = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L} & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.30)$$

where  $L_m^n \in GL(d; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\tilde{L} = (L^t)^{-1}$ . The subgroup of B-shifts  $B \rightarrow B + \beta$  is through matrices of the form

$$h_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \beta \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.31)$$

for integral  $\beta$ . The subgroup  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$  of matrices of the form

$$h_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L} & \beta \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.32)$$

plays an important role, and will be referred to as the geometric subgroup.

The transformation T-dualising in all  $d$  circles is

$$h_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.33)$$

In adapted coordinates

$$\Xi = d\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{A} \quad (6.34)$$

where, introducing  $O(d, d)$  vector indices  $M = 1, \dots, 2d$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}^M = \begin{pmatrix} A^m \\ \tilde{A}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{X}^M = \begin{pmatrix} X^m \\ \tilde{X}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.35)$$

also transform as a vector under  $O(d, d)$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' = h^{-1} \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' = h^{-1} \mathcal{X} \quad (6.36)$$

Then the  $\mathcal{X}$  are fibre coordinates for a  $T^{2d}$  bundle over  $N$  with connection 1-forms  $\mathcal{A}$  [19]. There are  $2d$  field strengths  $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$ , and the corresponding 1st Chern classes  $[\mathcal{F}]$  transform as

$$[\mathcal{F}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{F}'] = h^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \tag{6.37}$$

Then the  $d$  Chern classes and the  $d$   $H$ -classes fit into a  $2d$ -dimensional representation and are mixed together under the action of  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$ .

## 7. Torus fibrations

### 7.1 Local Killing vectors

For string theory on a space that is a  $K$  bundle, i.e. a bundle whose fibres are some space  $K$ , there are general arguments [27] that any duality that applies to string theory on  $K$  (e.g. mirror symmetry if  $K$  is Calabi-Yau, or T or U dualities if  $K$  is a torus) can be applied fibrewise, giving a fibration by a dual string theory on a space whose fibres are the dual space  $\tilde{K}$ . In the present context, this implies that it should be possible to apply T-duality to any space with a  $T^d$  fibration. However, the arguments discussed so far have been based on the case where there is an isometry group generated by globally defined Killing vector fields. In this section, these will be generalised to general torus fibrations, which do not have globally defined Killing vector fields. The aim of this section is to give a direct proof that T-duality can be applied fibrewise, and to examine whether there can be obstructions to fibrewise T-duality.

In general, a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$  can have  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  monodromy around each 1-cycle  $\gamma$  in  $N$ , with the fibres twisted by a large diffeomorphism on  $T^d$ , so that if  $k_m$  are the vector fields generating periodic motions along the  $T^d$  fibres, then continuing  $k_m$  round  $\gamma$  brings it back to a linear combination  $L_m^n(\gamma)k_n$  of the vectors  $k_m$ . Then although there are locally defined Killing vectors, they do not extend to global Killing vector fields — if one tries to analytically continue a solution of Killing’s equation to the whole space, non-trivial monodromy would imply that the vector field is multi-valued.

Suppose then that in each patch  $U_\alpha$  of  $M$  there are  $d$  Killing vector fields  $k_m^\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_m g = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_m H = 0$  in  $U_\alpha$ , and that in each overlap  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$

$$k_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n k_n^\beta \tag{7.1}$$

for some matrix  $(L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n$  in  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ .<sup>1</sup> It then follows that objects constructed from  $k_m$  and carrying indices  $m, n \dots$  now have  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  transition functions. For example, from their definitions it follows that  $G, \xi$  have transition functions

$$G_\alpha = L G_\beta L^t, \quad \xi_\alpha = \tilde{L} \xi_\beta \tag{7.2}$$

where  $L = L_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\tilde{L}^m_n$  is given by  $\tilde{L} = (L^t)^{-1}$ . Objects such as  $G, \xi$  carrying indices  $m, n \dots$  whose transition functions are just the  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  transformation in the appropriate representation will be referred to as tensors.

---

<sup>1</sup>The indices  $\alpha, \beta$  indicate the patch in which the corresponding function has support, while the composite index  $\alpha\beta$  indicates a function in the overlap  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . There is no significance here as to whether they are subscripts or superscripts.

If  $X_\alpha^m$  are coordinates adapted to  $k_m^\alpha$ , so that  $k_m^\alpha = \partial/\partial X_\alpha^m$ , then

$$\xi_\alpha^m = dX_\alpha^m + A_\alpha^m \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$A_\alpha^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m{}_n A_\beta^n + d\rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$X_\alpha^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m{}_n X_\beta^n - \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \quad (7.5)$$

for some  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}^m$ . These are not tensorial patching conditions. The transition functions for the coordinates  $X$  are an affine transformation, so such a bundle is sometimes referred to as an affine bundle. Here  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}^m$  satisfies  $\iota_m d\rho^n = 0$ , and so is a function on the base  $N$ . The transition functions then act by a large diffeomorphism of the torus together with a translation of the  $X^m$ , and so define an affine torus bundle rather than a principle one.

Next, as  $\iota_m^\alpha H = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n \iota_n^\beta H$  (where  $\iota_m^\alpha$  is the interior product with  $k_m^\alpha$ )

$$dv_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n dv_n^\beta \quad (7.6)$$

so that (4.2) is replaced with

$$v_m^\alpha - (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n v_n^\beta = d\lambda_m^{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.7)$$

Then

$$\hat{v}_m^\alpha = d\hat{X}_m^\alpha + v_m^\alpha \quad (7.8)$$

will have covariant transition functions

$$\hat{v}_\alpha = L\hat{v}_\beta \quad (7.9)$$

provided

$$\hat{X}_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n \hat{X}_n^\beta - \lambda_m^{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.10)$$

The transition functions for  $\Theta$  are now

$$\Theta_{mn}^\alpha - L_m{}^p L_n{}^q \Theta_{pq}^\beta = -\iota_m d\lambda_n^{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.11)$$

The one-forms  $\tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha$  defined by

$$\hat{v}_m^\alpha = \tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha - B_{mn}^\alpha \xi_\alpha^n \quad (7.12)$$

will be tensorial, with

$$\tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n \tilde{\xi}_n^\beta \quad (7.13)$$

provided the  $B_{mn}$  are tensorial,  $B^\alpha = LB^\beta L^t$ . This condition will be assumed to be the case in this section, but more general transition functions for  $B_{mn}$  will be discussed in section 8. The 1-forms  $\tilde{\xi}$  take the form

$$\tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha = d\tilde{X}_m^\alpha + \tilde{A}_m^\alpha \quad (7.14)$$

after the change of coordinates (4.26), (4.27) in each patch  $U_\alpha$ . From (7.10), (7.11), (4.26), (4.27), it follows that  $\partial_p(\tilde{X}_m^\alpha - (L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n X_n^\beta) = 0$  so that there are functions  $\tilde{\rho}_m^{\alpha\beta}$  on  $N$  such that the patching conditions are

$$\tilde{A}_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n \tilde{A}_n^\beta + d\tilde{\rho}_m^{\alpha\beta} \tag{7.15}$$

and

$$\tilde{X}_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n \tilde{X}_n^\beta - \tilde{\rho}_m^{\alpha\beta} \tag{7.16}$$

Then the bundle  $\tilde{M}$  over  $N$  with fibres  $\tilde{X}$  and connection  $\tilde{A}$  is a dual affine bundle.

If  $M$  is a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$ , one can choose a cover for  $M$  of sets  $U_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^d$  where  $\bar{U}_\alpha$  is an open cover of  $N$ . The transition functions discussed above are then all functions on intersections  $\bar{U}_\alpha \cap \bar{U}_\beta$  in  $N$ .

## 7.2 Symmetries of torus fibrations and their gauging

In this section, geometries  $(M, g, H)$  that are torus fibrations with local Killing vectors with transition functions (7.1) will be considered. The formal symmetries of the sigma-model on  $(M, g, H)$  that are associated with such local Killing vectors will be discussed and their gauging analysed. This will then be used to discuss the symmetries and gauging of the space  $(\hat{M}, g, H)$  with doubled fibres and their implications for T-duality in the following subsection.

A sigma-model configuration is a map  $\phi : W \rightarrow M$ . For a given map  $\phi : W \rightarrow M$ , it is convenient to choose an open cover  $W_{(\alpha,r)}$  (labelled by  $\alpha$  and an extra index  $r$ ) of  $W$  such that  $\phi(W_{(\alpha,r)}) \subset U_\alpha$ . Such a cover can be constructed as follows. The map  $\phi$  can be combined with the bundle projection  $\pi : M \rightarrow N$  to define a map  $\pi \circ \phi : W \rightarrow N$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_\alpha = \bar{U}_\alpha \cap (\pi \circ \phi(W))$ , so that  $\{u_\alpha\}$  with  $u_\alpha = \phi^{-1} \circ \pi^{-1} \tilde{U}_\alpha$  is a cover of  $W$ , with  $\phi(u_\alpha) \subseteq U_\alpha$ . For some  $\alpha$ ,  $u_\alpha$  may be the empty set. Next, a good cover  $\{W_{(\alpha,r)}\}$  is chosen for each  $u_\alpha$ ,  $u_\alpha = \cup_r W_{(\alpha,r)}$  with contractible  $W_{(\alpha,r)}$ , and  $W = \cup_{\alpha,r} W_{(\alpha,r)}$ .

Then for  $\sigma \in W_{(\alpha,r)}$ ,  $\phi(\sigma) \in U_\alpha$  and the coordinates  $X_\alpha^i$  can be used. Using  $X_\alpha^i$  for  $\sigma \in W_{(\alpha,r)}$  and  $X_\beta^i$  for  $\sigma \in W_{(\beta,s)}$ , for  $\sigma \in W_{(\alpha,r)} \cap W_{(\beta,s)}$ , the transition functions following from (7.5) are

$$X_\alpha^m(\sigma_{(\alpha,r)}) = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})_m^n X_\beta^n(\sigma_{(\beta,s)}) - \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m(\sigma_{(\beta,s)}) \tag{7.17}$$

and the transition functions do not depend on  $r, s$  (i.e. they are functions on  $u_\alpha$ ).

Consider the transformation of  $X_\alpha(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma$  in the patch  $W_{(\alpha,r)}$  given by

$$\delta X_\alpha^m = \alpha_{(\alpha,r)}^m k_m^\alpha(X(\sigma)) \tag{7.18}$$

where the parameter  $\alpha_{(\alpha,r)}^m(\sigma)$  is a function on  $W_{(\alpha,r)}$ . As the patch  $U_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^d$  in  $M$  contains the entire orbit of the each  $k_m$ ,  $X_\alpha + \delta X_\alpha$  remains in  $U_\alpha$  for each  $\sigma \in W_{(\alpha,r)}$ . Consistency with (7.3), (7.1) requires that, for  $\sigma \in W_{(\alpha,r)} \cap W_{(\beta,s)}$ , the parameters patch together according to

$$(\alpha_{(\alpha,r)})^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})_m^n (\alpha_{(\beta,s)})^n \tag{7.19}$$

As the transition functions (7.17), (7.19) do not depend on  $r, s$ , it follows that  $X, \alpha$  are functions on  $u_\alpha$  and for some purposes it is useful to use the cover  $\{u_\alpha\}$  and write the transition functions for  $X_\alpha(\sigma), \alpha_\alpha(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma$  in  $u_\alpha \cap u_\beta$  as

$$\alpha_\alpha = \tilde{L}\alpha_\beta, \quad X_\alpha^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m_n X_\beta^n - \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \quad (7.20)$$

Note that the cover  $\{u_\alpha\}$  is not a good cover in general — e.g. for the constant map  $\phi : W \rightarrow X_0 \in M$  of the whole world-sheet to a point  $X_0 \in U_{\alpha_0}$  for some patch  $U_{\alpha_0}$ , the corresponding patch  $u_{\alpha_0} = W$  is the whole of  $W$ , and so this will not be contractible unless  $W$  is. For a rigid symmetry with constant  $\alpha$ , a different constant parameter  $\alpha_\beta$  is needed in general for each patch  $u_\beta$ , related by (7.20). The parameters are sections of a bundle, and in general this has constant local sections, but not constant global sections.

Consider first the special case in which  $b$  is a tensor field with vanishing Lie derivative with respect to the vector fields  $k_m$ , so that the gauging is through minimal coupling, and  $v_m = -\iota_m b$ . Defining  $L^\alpha = L|_{u_\alpha}$ , the restriction of the ungauged sigma-model lagrangian  $L(X(\sigma))$  to  $\sigma \in u_\alpha$ , then the coordinates  $X_\alpha$  can be used and

$$L^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}dX^i \wedge *dX^j + \frac{1}{2}b_{ij}dX^i \wedge dX^j \quad (7.21)$$

where  $X^i = X_\alpha^i$ . This extends to a globally-defined lagrangian as

$$L^\alpha = L^\beta \quad \text{in } u_\alpha \cap u_\beta \quad (7.22)$$

The transformation (7.18) with constant  $\alpha_\alpha$  is a rigid symmetry of the lagrangian  $L^\alpha$  for  $\sigma \in u_\alpha$ , and the question arises as to whether this extends to a symmetry of the full lagrangian on  $W$ . This will be the case if different constant parameters are chosen in each patch  $u_\alpha \subset W$ , with the transition functions (7.20). As the patching conditions for the parameters depend on the choice of open sets  $\{u_\alpha\}$ , and this in turn depends on a reference sigma-model map  $\phi : W \rightarrow M$ , this is not a proper rigid symmetry, but it is a formal invariance of the theory.

The transformation (7.18) is a rigid symmetry of the lagrangian  $L^\alpha$  on  $u_\alpha$  and this can be gauged by introducing the minimal coupling

$$D_a X_\alpha^i = \partial_a X_\alpha^m - C_\alpha^m k_{\alpha m}^i \quad (7.23)$$

where the connection one-forms  $C_\alpha$  on  $u_\alpha$  transform as

$$\delta C_a^m = \partial_a \alpha^m \quad (7.24)$$

The minimal coupling gives the gauged lagrangian

$$L^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}DX^i \wedge *DX^j + \frac{1}{2}b_{ij}DX^i \wedge DX^j \quad (7.25)$$

where  $X^i = X_\alpha^i$ ,  $C = C_\alpha$  and this is invariant under the local transformations (7.18), (7.24) on  $u_\alpha$ . This can be done in each patch, with a gauge field  $C_\alpha(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma \in u_\alpha$  in each patch.

These local gauged lagrangians will patch together to give a gauged lagrangian on  $M$  that can be integrated over  $W$  if (7.22) holds. Using (7.20), this requires that the 1-forms  $(C_\alpha)_a^m d\sigma^a$  have transition functions

$$C_\alpha = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})C_\beta - d\rho_{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.26)$$

where  $d\rho_{\alpha\beta}$  is the pull-back  $d\rho_{\alpha\beta} = d\sigma^a \partial_a \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m(X(\sigma))$ . The  $C_\alpha$  are 1-forms on  $u_\alpha$ , so that if one had introduced  $C_{(\alpha,r)}$  on  $W_{(\alpha,r)}$ , then on the overlap  $W_{(\alpha,r)} \cap W_{(\alpha,s)}$  the 1-form is continuous  $C_{(\alpha,r)} = C_{(\alpha,s)}$ , and the full form of the transition functions could be written

$$C_{(\alpha,r)} = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})C_{(\beta,s)} - d\rho_{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.27)$$

and do not depend on  $r, s$ . Comparing with (7.4),  $C_\alpha^m$  has the same transition functions as the pull-back  $-A_{\alpha i}^m \partial X_{\alpha a}^i d\sigma^a$  of  $-A$ , so that  $C$  is the connection of a bundle over  $W$  which is the pull-back of the bundle  $M$  over  $N$  with connection  $-A$ .

As before, it is useful to write

$$C_{\alpha a}^m = \tilde{C}_{\alpha a}^m + \Phi_{\alpha a}^m \quad (7.28)$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_- = (\xi - E^{-1}\bar{\xi})\partial_- X, \quad \tilde{C}_+ = (\xi + (E^t)^{-1}\bar{\xi})\partial_+ X \quad (7.29)$$

The field equation from varying  $C$  is  $C = \tilde{C}$  or, equivalently,  $\Phi = 0$ . The  $\tilde{C}$  is a pull-back connection, with transformation rules

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha a}^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m{}_n \tilde{C}_{\beta a}^n - \partial_a \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m(X(\sigma)) \quad (7.30)$$

so that  $\Phi$  is a vector field with covariant transition functions

$$\Phi_{\alpha a}^m = (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m{}_n \Phi_{\beta a}^n \quad (7.31)$$

in  $u_\alpha \cap u_\beta$ . Any choice of  $\Phi$  (e.g.  $\Phi = 0$ ) with these transition functions will give a  $C$  with transition functions (7.26).

Then for each patch  $u_\alpha$  there is a lagrangian  $L^\alpha$  that is invariant under the local transformations (7.18), (7.24). Further, if the gauge field  $C$  is a connection on the pull-back bundle, i.e. if it has transition functions (7.26) (or equivalently  $C = \tilde{C} + \Phi$  for any  $\Phi$  with transition functions (7.31)), then  $L^\alpha = L^\beta$  in  $u_\alpha \cap u_\beta$  and the lagrangian is well-defined on  $W$  and invariant under (7.18), (7.24) provided the local parameters patch according to (7.20). The parameters  $\alpha$  are local sections of a bundle with  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  transition functions, and for non-trivial bundles, there will be no global constant section, and hence no global limit of the gauge symmetry with constant parameters. This bundle is characterised by its  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies around 1-cycles, and so can only be trivial if these monodromies are all trivial. The best one can do in general is to find constant local sections, with the  $\alpha$  constant in each patch, but with the constants in different patches related by (7.20).

This can now be generalised to the case in which  $b$  is not globally defined, but  $H$  is invariant. The gauging of the kinetic term involving the metric is as above. The map

$\phi : W \rightarrow M$  extends to a map  $\phi : V \rightarrow M$  where  $V$  is a 3-manifold with boundary  $W$  and for any such map choose a cover  $\{V_\alpha\}$  of  $V$  with  $\pi \circ \phi(V_\alpha) \subset \bar{U}_\alpha$ . Then one can define the lagrangian on  $V_\alpha$

$$L_{WZ}^\alpha = \frac{1}{3} H_{ijk} DX^i \wedge DX^j \wedge DX^k + \mathcal{G}^m \wedge v_{mi} DX^i \quad (7.32)$$

with  $X = X_\alpha$ . Assuming  $\bar{U}_\alpha$  is contractible, there are 1-forms  $v_m^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$  such that  $dv_m = \iota_m H$ . The  $v_m$  are determined up to the addition of exact forms, and the lagrangian  $L_{WZ}^\alpha$  is gauge invariant provided the  $v_m$  can be chosen so that  $\mathcal{L}_m v_n = 0$  and  $\iota_{(m} v_n) = 0$ . These patch to give a well-defined action provided  $L_{WZ}^\alpha = L_{WZ}^\beta$  in  $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$ , and this requires that the  $v$  are tensorial:

$$v_\alpha = L v_\beta \quad (7.33)$$

These give the generalisation of the conditions for gauging a Wess-Zumino term to the case of locally-defined Killing vectors. The connection has the same properties as above, and is given by (7.28), (7.29) for any  $\Phi$  with the transition functions (7.31).

### 7.3 T-duality for torus fibrations

Suppose  $M$  has  $d$  locally-defined Killing vectors with transition functions (7.1). If  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ , then over each patch  $\bar{U}_\alpha$  in  $N$  the construction of section 4 can be repeated to give a patch  $U_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^{2d}$  with coordinates  $(Y_\alpha, X_\alpha, \tilde{X}_\alpha)$ . This allows the construction of a space  $\hat{M}$  which is a  $T^{2d}$  bundle over  $N$  that has fibre coordinates  $\mathcal{X}_\alpha$  with

$$\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X^m \\ \tilde{X}_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.34)$$

and patching conditions (7.5), (7.16). The one-forms  $\hat{v}$  defined by (7.8) are tensorial, with transition functions (7.9). There is a  $B_{mn}^\alpha$  and vector fields  $\hat{k}_m^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$  such that the conditions for gauging are satisfied in  $U_\alpha$ , so that a gauged lagrangian  $L^\alpha$  can be constructed on  $u_\alpha$  (or  $V_\alpha$  for the WZ-term).

The vector fields  $\hat{k}_m^\alpha$  have the same tensorial transition functions as  $k_m^\alpha$ ,  $\hat{k}^\alpha = L \hat{k}^\beta$  provided the  $B_{mn}$  given by  $B_{mn} = \Theta_{mn} + \iota_m v_n$  are tensorial

$$B_{mn}^\alpha = L_m^p L_n^q B_{pq}^\beta \quad (7.35)$$

Then in each patch there are torus moduli  $E_{mn}^\alpha = G_{mn}^\alpha + B_{mn}^\alpha$  and 1-forms  $\xi_m^\alpha, \tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha$ . The geometry in each patch is given in term of these by (3.23), (3.34) (with the definitions (3.35), (3.28), (3.27)) and these give a globally defined metric and 3-form as a result of (7.2), (7.35). For example,  $B = \frac{1}{2} B_{mn} \xi^m \wedge \xi^n$  is a globally-defined 2-form as  $B^\alpha = B^\beta$ .

In each patch,  $U_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^{2d}$ , the space of orbits under the action of  $\hat{k}_m^\alpha$  can be thought of as  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^d$  with fibre coordinates  $\tilde{X}_m$ . With the transition functions (7.16), these patch together to give the dual space  $\tilde{M}$ . This is the dual affine torus bundle with the  $\tilde{L}$  in the transition functions (7.5) for  $M$  replaced with  $L$  in the transition functions (7.16) for  $\tilde{M}$ . T-duality in each patch acts through (6.23), (6.22) and lead to a dual metric  $\tilde{g}^\alpha$  and 3-form  $\tilde{H}^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$  given by (6.10), (6.12), and these patch together to give a globally defined metric and 3-form on  $\tilde{M}$ .

## 8. Torus fibrations with B-shifts

### 8.1 B-shifts with Killing vectors

Returning to the set-up of section 4, suppose  $(M, g, H)$  has  $d$  globally defined Killing vector fields  $k_m$ , with  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$  but suppose that  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is not necessarily exact. Then in each patch  $U_\alpha$  there is a  $B_{mn}^\alpha$  with

$$\iota_m \iota_n H = dB_{mn}^\alpha \tag{8.1}$$

and as  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is globally-defined, in overlaps  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,  $B_{mn}^\alpha - B_{mn}^\beta$  is closed, so that

$$B_{mn}^\alpha = B_{mn}^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8.2}$$

for some constants  $c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$ . Then the transition functions for  $\Theta$  are changed from (4.7) to

$$\Theta_{mn}^\alpha - \Theta_{mn}^\beta = c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} - \iota_m d\lambda_n^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8.3}$$

As a result, the vector fields  $\hat{k}$  defined by (4.6) are not globally defined,

$$\hat{k}_m^\alpha = \hat{k}_m^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{k}_\beta^n \tag{8.4}$$

The condition that  $\hat{k}_m^\alpha, \tilde{k}_\alpha^n$  have compact orbits in each patch, so that  $\hat{M}$  is a  $T^{2d}$  bundle, imposes a quantization condition on the constants  $c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$ . If  $X^m \sim X^m + 2\pi R$ ,  $\tilde{X}_m \sim \tilde{X}_m + 2\pi \tilde{R}$  for some  $R, \tilde{R}$  (with  $\tilde{R} = (2\pi kR)^{-1}$  if the conditions of section 5 are imposed), then the quantization condition on the  $c$  is that  $(R/\tilde{R})c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$  are integers.

In section 7, transition functions on  $M$  that mix the  $k$  among themselves were considered, so that  $M$  is a torus bundle which is not principle, and (8.4) gives a generalisation in which transition functions on  $\hat{M}$  mix the  $\hat{k}$  with the  $\tilde{k}$ , so that  $\hat{M}$  is an affine  $T^{2d}$  bundle which is not principle. Then although the vector fields  $k_m$  are globally defined on  $M$ , the  $\hat{k}_m$  are not globally defined on  $\hat{M}$ . The 1-forms  $\xi$  have trivial transition functions  $\xi^\alpha = \xi^\beta$ , but

$$\tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha = \tilde{\xi}_m^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \xi^n \tag{8.5}$$

The transition functions for  $E = G + B$  are then

$$E^\alpha = E^\beta + c^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8.6}$$

The T-duality transformation (6.23), (6.22) can now be applied in any given patch to give a dual geometry with moduli  $\tilde{E}^{mn}$  given by  $\tilde{E}^\alpha = (E^\alpha)^{-1}$  in  $U_\alpha$ . If this is done in each patch, then the transition functions (8.6) give the transition functions

$$\tilde{E}^\alpha = \tilde{E}^\beta (1 + c^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{E}^\beta)^{-1} \tag{8.7}$$

for  $\tilde{E}^\alpha = (E^\alpha)^{-1}$ . As a result, the geometries on each patch  $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, \tilde{g}^\alpha, \tilde{H}^\alpha)$  do not fit together to give a geometry on  $\tilde{M}$ , as the transition functions for  $\tilde{g}^\alpha, \tilde{H}^\alpha$  following from (8.7) do not give tensor fields on  $\tilde{M}$ . The transition functions for  $E$  (8.6) are through an  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  transformation (6.27) with

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & c^{\alpha\beta} \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.8}$$



while those for  $\tilde{E}$  (8.7) are an  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  transformation with

$$\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ c^{\alpha\beta} & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.9}$$

This is of the form  $\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} = h_T h^{\alpha\beta} h_T^{-1}$  where  $h_T$  is the T-duality transformation (6.33), as expected from [19]. Then  $\hat{M}$  is a  $T^{2d}$  bundle over  $N$  which will in general have  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  monodromy of the form

$$M(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & N(\gamma) \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{8.10}$$

round 1-cycles  $\gamma$  in  $\hat{M}$  for some integers  $N(\gamma)$ . The transition functions are T-dualities, giving a T-fold [19]. Although the resulting background is not a conventional geometry on  $\tilde{M}$ , it does give a good non-geometric background for string theory [19], as the transition functions are a symmetry of string theory.

In this case, there are global issues in understanding the T-duality from the point of view of the gauged sigma-model. In any given patch, the T-duality can be achieved through gauging the isometries generated by  $\hat{k}_m^\alpha$ , giving a gauged lagrangian  $L^\alpha$ . However, these cannot be patched together to form a global gauged lagrangian as (8.4) implies that the transition functions mix the isometries being gauged with those that are not. Then the  $T^d$  generated by the  $\hat{k}$  do not patch together to give a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$ , and this leads to the fact that the dual metric  $\tilde{g}$  and 3-form  $\tilde{H}$  are not globally-defined. One might instead attempt to gauge the isometries generated by  $K_m^\alpha = \hat{k}_m^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$  and the isometries generated by  $K_m^\beta = \hat{k}_m^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{k}_\beta^n$  in  $U_\beta$ , and in this way try to define globally defined vector fields  $K_m^\alpha$  that can be gauged. However, there is a topological obstruction to doing this if  $\hat{M}$  has non-trivial  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  monodromy, i.e. if there is at least one 1-cycle  $\gamma$  with  $N(\gamma) \neq 0$ . If all monodromies are trivial, then one can construct a globally-defined  $B_{mn}$  by taking  $B_{mn} = B_{mn}^\alpha$  in  $U_\alpha$ ,  $B_{mn} = B_{mn}^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$  in  $U_\beta$  etc and so recover the set-up of section 4 with globally-defined  $B_{mn}$ .

## 8.2 B-shifts and torus fibrations

Consider now the situation of section 7 where  $(M, g, H)$  is a torus fibration with local Killing vector fields in each patch with transition functions (7.1), and suppose  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ . Then from (4.14)

$$d(B_{mn}^\alpha - L_m^p L_n^q B_{pq}^\beta) = 0 \tag{8.11}$$

so that

$$B_{mn}^\alpha - L_m^p L_n^q B_{pq}^\beta = c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8.12}$$

for some constants  $c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$ . The transition functions for the vector fields  $\hat{k}$  are now

$$\hat{k}_m^\alpha = (L_{\alpha\beta})_m^n \hat{k}_n^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{k}_\beta^n \tag{8.13}$$

and the constants  $c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}$  satisfy the same quantization condition as in the last section, so that the orbits of  $\hat{k}, \tilde{k}$  are compact on each patch. The transition functions for the 1-forms

are

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\alpha^m &= (\tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta})^m{}_n \xi_\beta^n \\ \tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha &= (L_{\alpha\beta})_m{}^n \tilde{\xi}_n^\beta + c_{mn}^{\alpha\beta} \xi^n\end{aligned}\tag{8.14}$$

The transition functions are then through the  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  transformations

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{L}_{\alpha\beta} & c^{\alpha\beta} \\ 0 & L_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix},\tag{8.15}$$

In each patch one  $U_\alpha$  one can T-dualise using the formulae of section 6. This again gives a T-fold, with transition functions  $\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} = h_T h^{\alpha\beta} h_T^{-1}$  with  $h^{\alpha\beta}$  given by (8.15).

### 9. T-folds and T-duality

The backgrounds considered here and in [19] are constructed from local patches that are each conventional geometric string backgrounds. For torus fibrations, these patches are of the form  $U_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^d$  where  $\bar{U}_\alpha$  are patches on the base  $N$ . In each such patch, the background has a conventional geometry  $(U_\alpha, g^\alpha, H^\alpha)$  and  $U_\alpha$  is assumed to have  $d$  vertical Killing vector fields  $k_m$  tangent to the torus fibres. The geometry  $(U_\alpha, g^\alpha, H^\alpha)$  is determined by a geometry  $(\bar{U}_\alpha, \bar{g}^\alpha, \bar{H}^\alpha)$  on the base patch  $\bar{U}_\alpha$  with metric  $\bar{g}^\alpha$  and 3-form  $\bar{H}^\alpha$ , together with  $T^d$  moduli  $E_{mn}^\alpha = G_{mn}^\alpha + B_{mn}^\alpha$  and the  $U(1)^{2d}$  connections  $A_\alpha^m, \tilde{A}_m^\alpha$ . The  $A^m$  are the  $U(1)^d$  connections associated with the  $T^d$  fibration.

It was seen in section 4 that it is natural to use this data to construct a  $T^{2d}$  fibration by introducing  $d$  extra toroidal dimensions to construct a patch  $\hat{U}_\alpha \simeq \bar{U}_\alpha \times T^{2d}$  with  $U(1)^{2d}$  connection 1-forms  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = (A_\alpha^m, \tilde{A}_m^\alpha)$ . Then there are  $2d$  1-forms  $\xi^m, \tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha$  on  $\hat{U}_\alpha$  whose horizontal projections are  $A_\alpha^m, \tilde{A}_m^\alpha$ , and there are  $2d$  Killing vector fields  $\hat{k}_m, \tilde{k}^m$  tangent to the fibres.

If  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ , there is a natural action of  $O(d, d)$  on the geometry, with  $E$  transforming as (6.27),  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \tilde{A})$  transforming as (6.36),  $\xi^m, \tilde{\xi}_m^\alpha$  transforming as (6.28), (6.29) and  $\bar{g}, \bar{H}$  invariant. The subgroup  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  is a symmetry of string theory, as two backgrounds related by  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  define the same quantum theory.

The string background  $M$  is constructed by patching the  $U_\alpha$  together. In overlaps  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , the patching conditions relating  $(E^\alpha, \mathcal{A}^\alpha)$  to  $(E^\beta, \mathcal{A}^\beta)$  are given by a  $U(1)^{2d}$  gauge transformation together with an  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  transformation  $h^{\alpha\beta}$ . The background is geometric if the metrics  $g^\alpha$  and 3-forms  $H^\alpha$  patch together to give a metric tensor and 3-form on  $M$ . This requires that all the  $h^{\alpha\beta}$  can be taken to be of the form (8.15), so that the monodromies are all in the geometric subgroup  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$  of matrices of the form (6.32). The  $k^\alpha$  will be globally-defined vector fields provided the transition functions are all of the form (8.9), so that the monodromies are in the subgroup of matrices of the form (6.31). For general  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$  monodromies,  $M$  is a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$ .

For  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies that are not in  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $M$  is a T-fold. This can be viewed as a manifold  $M$  on which the  $g^\alpha$  and  $H^\alpha$  do not patch together to give tensor fields on  $M$ . Such T-folds are non-geometric backgrounds, but nonetheless can provide good string

backgrounds [19]. The transition functions in  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z}) \times U(1)^{2d}$  can be used to patch the  $\hat{U}_\alpha$  together to form a  $T^{2d}$  bundle  $\hat{M}$  over  $N$  with connection  $\mathcal{A}$ . The  $\hat{k}_m, \tilde{k}^m$  will be globally-defined vector fields on  $\hat{M}$  only if the  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies are trivial.

The topology of the  $T^{2d}$  bundle  $\hat{M}$  over  $N$  is characterised by the  $2d$  first Chern classes  $[\mathcal{F}] \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$  and the  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies  $g(\gamma)$  round 1-cycles  $\gamma$  in  $N$ . An  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  T-duality transformation  $h$  on these is  $[\mathcal{F}] \rightarrow h^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ ,  $g(\gamma) \rightarrow hg(\gamma)h^{-1}$ .

The orbits of the  $\hat{k}_m$  define a space  $U'_\alpha \simeq \tilde{U}_\alpha \times T^d \subset \hat{U}_\alpha$ , and these patch together to form a  $T^d$  bundle over  $N$  if the monodromies are all in the  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  subgroup. In that case, if  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$  there is a gauged sigma-model on  $\hat{M}$  in which the action of the  $\hat{k}_m$  is gauged, and this can be used to show that the action of the T-duality group  $O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  on the geometry is a symmetry of the quantum theory, and it takes a geometric background with  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies to a geometric background with  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies. This extends the proof of T-duality to the case of torus fibrations with  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  monodromies, and this is the maximal case in which a complete proof can be given in the way discussed here using a globally-defined gauged sigma-model. The condition that the monodromies are all in  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\iota_m \iota_n H$  is exact.

In the general case, one can construct a gauged sigma-model in any patch  $\hat{U}_\alpha$  in which the symmetry generated by the  $\hat{k}$  is gauged provided  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ , and this can be used to construct a dual geometry  $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, \tilde{g}^\alpha, \tilde{H}^\alpha)$  on the space of orbits  $\tilde{U}_\alpha \simeq \tilde{U}_\alpha \times T^d$ . For physical effects localised within  $\hat{U}_\alpha$ , the sigma model on the original geometry  $(U_\alpha, g^\alpha, H^\alpha)$  and the dual geometry  $(\tilde{U}_\alpha, \tilde{g}^\alpha, \tilde{H}^\alpha)$  give equivalent quantum theories, so one can in principle use either. This dualisation can then be done in all patches. If the original background had transition functions  $h^{\alpha\beta} \in O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$ , the dual one has transition functions  $\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} \in O(d, d; \mathbb{Z})$  given by  $\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} = h_T h^{\alpha\beta} h_T^{-1}$ . If the original space was a geometric background with monodromies in  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$  with non-trivial  $B$ -shifts, so that the monodromies are not all in  $GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ , the dual background is a non-geometric T-fold. A discussion of T-duality in this general case can be given using the doubled formalism of [19]; this will be discussed in a separate publication.

The most general case requires the relaxation of the constraint  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H = 0$ , so that  $\iota_m \iota_n \iota_p H$  gives constants in each patch, and the algebra of the Killing vectors  $\hat{k}, \tilde{k}$  becomes non-abelian. The results of [28] suggest that T-duality should generalise to this case, but the non-abelian structure leads to issues similar to those that arise in non-abelian duality [36–38], so that the approach used here appears difficult to implement in that case.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dan Waldram and Martin Roček for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, *Target space duality in string theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **244** (1994) 77 [[hep-th/9401139](#)].

- [2] T.H. Buscher, *A symmetry of the string background field equations*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 194** (1987) 59; *Path integral derivation of quantum duality in nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 201** (1988) 466.
- [3] M. Roček and E.P. Verlinde, *Duality, quotients and currents*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 373** (1992) 630 [[hep-th/9110053](#)].
- [4] A. Giveon and M. Roček, *Generalized duality in curved string backgrounds*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 380** (1992) 128 [[hep-th/9112070](#)].
- [5] A. Giveon, *Duality as a gauge symmetry and topology change*, [hep-th/9310016](#).
- [6] A. Giveon and E. Kiritsis, *Axial vector duality as a gauge symmetry and topology change in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 411** (1994) 487 [[hep-th/9303016](#)].
- [7] E. Alvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé, J.L.F. Barbon and Y. Lozano, *Some global aspects of duality in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 415** (1994) 71 [[hep-th/9309039](#)].
- [8] E. Alvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé and Y. Lozano, *A canonical approach to duality transformations*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 336** (1994) 183 [[hep-th/9406206](#)].
- [9] A. Giveon, N. Malkin and E. Rabinovici, *On discrete symmetries and fundamental domains of target space*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 238** (1990) 57.
- [10] C.M. Hull, *Massive string theories from M-theory and F-theory*, *JHEP* **11** (1998) 027 [[hep-th/9811021](#)].
- [11] P. Bouwknegt, J. Evslin and V. Mathai, *T-duality: topology change from H-flux*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **249** (2004) 383 [[hep-th/0306062](#)].
- [12] P. Bouwknegt, J. Evslin and V. Mathai, *On the topology and H-flux of T-dual manifolds*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** (2004) 181601 [[hep-th/0312052](#)].
- [13] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss and V. Mathai, *T-duality for principal torus bundles*, *JHEP* **03** (2004) 018 [[hep-th/0312284](#)].
- [14] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss and V. Mathai, *T-duality for principal torus bundles and dimensionally reduced Gysin sequences*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005) 749 [[hep-th/0412268](#)].
- [15] V. Mathai and J.M. Rosenberg, *On mysteriously missing T-duals, H-flux and the T-duality group*, [hep-th/0409073](#).
- [16] V. Mathai and J.M. Rosenberg, *T-duality for torus bundles via noncommutative topology*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2004) 705 [[hep-th/0401168](#)].
- [17] V. Mathai and J. Rosenberg, *T-duality for torus bundles with H-fluxes via noncommutative topology. II: the high-dimensional case and the T-duality group*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **10** (2006) 123 [[hep-th/0508084](#)].
- [18] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss and V. Mathai, *Nonassociative tori and applications to T-duality*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **264** (2006) 41 [[hep-th/0412092](#)].
- [19] C.M. Hull, *A geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds*, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 065 [[hep-th/0406102](#)].
- [20] C.M. Hull and B.J. Spence, *The gauged nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model with Wess-Zumino term*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 232** (1989) 204.

- [21] C.M. Hull and B.J. Spence, *The geometry of the gauged  $\sigma$ -model with Wess-Zumino term*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **353** (1991) 379.
- [22] I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, N. Mohammedi and H. Osborn, *Gauging the general  $\sigma$ -model with a Wess-Zumino term*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **332** (1990) 359.
- [23] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, *Equivariant cohomology and gauged bosonic  $\sigma$ -models*, [hep-th/9407149](#).
- [24] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, *Gauged Wess-Zumino terms and equivariant cohomology*, *Phys. Lett. B* **341** (1994) 153 [[hep-th/9407196](#)].
- [25] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and N. Mohammedi, *Gauging the Wess-Zumino term of a  $\sigma$ -model with boundary*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 086 [[hep-th/0506049](#)].
- [26] H. Bursztyn, G.R. Cavalcanti and M. Gualtieri, *Reduction of Courant algebroids and generalized complex structures*, [math.DG/0509640](#).
- [27] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Dual string pairs with  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  supersymmetry in four dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* (Proc. Suppl.) **46** (1996) 225 [[hep-th/9507050](#)].
- [28] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, *Generalised T-duality and non-geometric backgrounds*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 009 [[hep-th/0512005](#)].
- [29] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, *Duality twists, orbifolds and fluxes*, *JHEP* **09** (2003) 054 [[hep-th/0210209](#)].
- [30] A. Flournoy, B. Wecht and B. Williams, *Constructing nongeometric vacua in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **706** (2005) 127 [[hep-th/0404217](#)].
- [31] S. Kachru, M.B. Schulz, P.K. Tripathy and S.P. Trivedi, *New supersymmetric string compactifications*, *JHEP* **03** (2003) 061 [[hep-th/0211182](#)].
- [32] S. Hellerman, J. McGreevy and B. Williams, *Geometric constructions of nongeometric string theories*, *JHEP* **01** (2004) 024 [[hep-th/0208174](#)].
- [33] D.A. Lowe, H. Nastase and S. Ramgoolam, *Massive IIA string theory and matrix theory compactification*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **667** (2003) 55 [[hep-th/0303173](#)].
- [34] A. Flournoy and B. Williams, *Nongeometry, duality twists and the worldsheet*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 166 [[hep-th/0511126](#)].
- [35] J. Shelton, W. Taylor and B. Wecht, *Nongeometric flux compactifications*, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 085 [[hep-th/0508133](#)].
- [36] X.C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Duality symmetries from nonabelian isometries in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **403** (1993) 377 [[hep-th/9210021](#)].
- [37] E. Alvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé and Y. Lozano, *On nonabelian duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **424** (1994) 155 [[hep-th/9403155](#)].
- [38] A. Giveon and M. Roček, *On nonabelian duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **421** (1994) 173 [[hep-th/9308154](#)].